arXiv:1511.07058v1 [gr-qc] 22 Nov 2015

Vector theory of gravity: solution of dark energy problem

Anatoly A. Svidzinsky
Department of Physics & Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station TX 77843-4242
(Dated: November 24, 2015)

We propose an alternative classical theory of gravity which assumes that background geometry of the Universe is fixed four dimensional Euclidean space and gravity is a vector field A_k in this space which breaks the Euclidean symmetry. Direction of A_k gives the time coordinate, while perpendicular directions are spatial coordinates. Vector gravitational field is coupled to matter universally and minimally through the equivalent metric f_{ik} which is a functional of A_k. We show that such assumptions yield a unique theory of gravity, it is free of black holes and to the best of our knowledge it passes all available tests. For cosmology our theory predicts the same evolution of the Universe as general relativity with cosmological constant and zero spatial curvature. However, the present theory provides explanation of the dark energy as energy of gravitational field induced by the Universe expansion and yields, with no free parameters, the value of $\Omega_\Lambda = 2/3 \approx 0.67$ which agrees with the recent Planck result $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.686 \pm 0.02$. Such striking agreement with cosmological data indicates that gravity has a vector, rather than tensor, origin. Vector gravity can be tested by making more accurate measurement of the time delay of radar signal traveling near the Sun, by improving accuracy of the light deflection experiments or measuring polarization of gravitational waves which differs from those in general relativity. Resolving the supermassive object at the center of our Galaxy with VLBA could provide another possible test of gravity and also shed light on the nature of dark matter as we argued in JCAP **10**, 018 (2007).

Contents

I. Introduction 1

II. Postulates of the vector theory of gravity 4

III. Equivalent metric 4

IV. Action for gravitational field 5

V. Equations for gravitational field 6

VI. Static gravitational field 6

VII. Post-Newtonian limit 7

VIII. Weak field limit 8
A. Linearized gravitational field equations 8
B. Energy density and energy flux 8
C. Gravitational waves 9

IX. Cosmology 10

X. Content of the Universe 12

XI. Radiation of gravitational waves by system of masses 13

XII. Tests of the theory of gravity 15

XIII. Galactic centers and dark matter problem 17

XIV. Summary 18

Acknowledgments 20

A. Derivation of the equivalent metric 21

B. Derivation of gravitational field action 21
1. Weak field limit 21
2. Arbitrary gravitational field 22

C. Equations for gravitational field 24

D. Motion of particles in gravitational field 25

E. Motion of particles in static gravitational field 26

F. Equations for metric in post-Newtonian limit 27

G. Analogy of weak gravity with electromagnetism in medium with negative refractive index 28

H. Energy density and energy flux for weak gravitational field 29

I. Cosmological suppression of preferred frame and preferred location effects 29

References 31

I. INTRODUCTION

A century ago, Albert Einstein completed the general theory of relativity in his conclusive paper submitted on 25 November 1915 and published on 2 December 1915 [1]. Einstein's theory then became an accepted theory of gravity. In general relativity the space-time geometry g_{ik}

(metric tensor) is the gravitational field described by the action

$$S_{\rm GR} = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}g^{ik}R_{ik} - \int \rho\sqrt{g_{ik}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^k}{dt}}d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where G is the gravitational constant, c is the speed of light and R_{ik} is the Ricci tensor. The second term in Eq. (1) describes interaction between gravitational field and matter with the rest mass density $\rho(t,\mathbf{r})$. Variation of the action (1) with respect to g_{ik} yields Einstein equations

$$R_{ik} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}T\right), \quad (2)$$

where T_{ik} is the energy-momentum tensor of matter. Einstein equations (2) are a consequence of the postulate that space-time geometry g_{ik} is gravitational field.

One should mention that so far general relativity was tested only at weak gravitational field [2, 3] and thus it is not a theory fully confirmed experimentally. Observations of binary pulsars yet have not provided a test of general relativity at strong gravity. Even though neutron stars in the binary systems are relativistic objects, they are sufficiently well-separated and all aspects of their orbital behavior and gravitational wave generation in general relativity are characterized only by their net masses and angular momentum. As a result, observations of binary pulsars tested Einstein equations for weak time-dependent field and also the equivalence principle which guarantees effacement of the bodies (relativistic) internal structure. One should note, however, that strong internal gravitational fields of neutron stars can affect orbital dynamics and gravitational wave generation in alternative theories of gravity that violate the strong equivalence principle [2–4]. Only in this sense observations of binary pulsars is a test of strong gravity.

In 1998, published observations of Type Ia supernovae by the High-Z Supernova Search Team [5] followed in 1999 by the Supernova Cosmology Project [6] suggested that the expansion of the universe is accelerating. Since then, these observations have been corroborated by several independent sources. Measurements of the cosmic microwave background, gravitational lensing, and the large-scale structure of the cosmos as well as improved measurements of supernovae have been consistent with the Lambda-CDM model, which is the current standard model of cosmology. According to this model, a mysterious dark energy gives the main contribution to the Universe composition. Work done in 2013 based on the Planck spacecraft observations of the cosmic microwave background gave the most accurate estimate of about 68% of dark energy in the Universe [7].

There are several unwanted issues about general relativity. E.g., it is not compatible with quantum theory, it can not explain why Universe is spatially flat, it does not provide a mechanism of matter generation at the Big Bang and it can not explain the value of the cosmological term (mysterious dark energy). It also predicts existence of singularities such as black holes when a massive star collapses into a point with zero volume and infinite matter density. One can argue that general relativity becomes invalid in the vicinity of singularities and a quantum theory of gravity will remove them. In contrast, the present theory is free of such singularities at the classical level. One should mention that black holes have never been observed directly and "evidences" of their existence are based on the presumption that general relativity describes gravity for strong field.

Here we propose an alternative classical theory of gravity which is a Lagrangian-based vector field theory in fixed four dimensional Euclidean space. The present vector theory is a metric theory of gravity [2] which means that space-time is endowed with a symmetric equivalent metric f_{ik} formed out of the vector field and Euclidean metric. Matter and nongravitational fields respond only to the spacetime metric f_{ik} . The world lines of test bodies are geodesics of that metric and in local freely falling frames the nongravitational laws of physics are those of special relativity. Our theory is prior-geometric for it contains the fixed background Euclidean geometry (Euclidean metric) and gravity is a dynamical four-vector field in this geometry which generates the space-time curvature (equivalent metric f_{ik}).

We show that vector theory of gravity passes all available tests. At strong field our theory substantially deviates from general relativity and yields no black holes. For cosmology the present theory gives the same evolution of the Universe as general relativity with cosmological constant and zero spatial curvature. However, zero spatial curvature of the Universe is a solution of our equations, while in general relativity the spatial curvature is a free parameter. Moreover, the vector theory of gravity yields, with no free parameters, the value of the cosmological constant $\Omega_\Lambda = 2/3 \approx 0.67$ which agrees with the recent Planck result $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.686 \pm 0.02$ [7]. Such striking agreement is a strong argument in favor of the vector nature of gravity.

Physical explanation of the dark energy (cosmological term) in our theory is the following. Expansion of the Universe yields change of spatial scale with time which can be viewed as an increase of the distance between masses. This generates matter current directed away from an observer. Such current induces vector (spatial) components of the gravitational field in a similar way as electric current creates vector potential in classical electrodynamics. Average energy of the vector gravitational field induced by the Universe expansion is the mysterious dark energy. Contrary to matter, it has negative energy density and accelerates expansion of the Universe.

Vector gravity also suggests a mechanism of matter generation at the Big Bang without involving an additional hypothetical field (inflaton). Namely, matter was created at the expense of production of negative energy gravitons and the gravitational field itself caused the stage of inflation and heated up the Universe. According to the vector gravity, the total energy of the Universe (which includes the energy of matter and gravitational

field) is equal to zero.

Another remarkable feature of our theory is that equations for gravitational field can be solved analytically for arbitrary static mass distribution (see Sec. VI). If point masses are located at $\mathbf{r}_1$, $\mathbf{r}_2$, ... $\mathbf{r}_N$ then exact solution for the equivalent metric is

$$f_{ik} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-2\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -e^{-2\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-2\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{m_1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_1|} - \ldots - \frac{m_N}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_N|} \qquad (4)$$

and m_k $(k = 1, \ldots, N)$ are constants determined by the value of masses. Solution (3) is free of black holes. In recent years, the evidence for the existence of ultra-compact supermassive objects at centers of galaxies has become very strong. It is important to note that present solution (3) not only argues that such objects are not black holes, but also can explain quantitatively their observed properties and give us a hint about composition of dark matter (see Sec. XIII and Ref. [8]).

Before we proceed with building the vector theory of gravity we discuss an algorithm that we use to construct the theory. Classical electrodynamics is an example of a successful field theory which is very well tested. It postulates that electromagnetic field is a four dimensional vector A_k in Minkowski space-time. The conserved $4-$current density j^k is the source of the electromagnetic field which is coupled to A_k through the Lorentz invariant term in the action

$$S_{\text{coupl}} = -\frac{1}{c}\int d^4x A_k j^k. \qquad (5)$$

Such postulate allows us to construct classical electrodynamics in a unique way using symmetries of Eq. (5). Namely, conservation of current yields that S_{coupl} is invariant under the gauge transformation $A_k \to A_k + \partial\psi/\partial x^k$. Action for the electromagnetic field S_{field} must possess the same symmetries as the coupling term S_{coupl}, namely, it must be Lorentz and gauge invariant. Such a requirement, together with the condition that S_{field} must be quadratic in field derivatives yields a unique answer for S_{field}

$$S_{\text{field}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi c}\int d^4x \left(\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^k}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A^k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A^i}{\partial x_k}\right). \qquad (6)$$

Variation of the total action of the system $S = S_{\text{field}} + S_{\text{coupl}} + S_{\text{matter}}$ with respect to A_k yields Maxwell equations and variation with respect to the particle trajectories gives the Lorentz force. Thus, the whole classical electrodynamics is uniquely assembled from the structure of the coupling term (5).

In the next sections we will use the same algorithm to construct the classical vector theory of gravity. Namely, first we postulate how the gravitational field is coupled to matter. Then, using symmetries of the coupling term, we uniquely assemble the total action and obtain the field equations from the variational principle. One should note that symmetries of the coupling term in vector gravity are very different from the symmetries of Eq. (5). As a consequence, the vector theory of gravity substantially differs from classical electrodynamics.

When we make a transition from the classical to quantum electrodynamics we must make an additional assumption, namely, that the quantum of the vector filed A_k, the photon, is an elementary particle. This postulate yields that photon is a boson because fermion field does not transform as a vector. However, a product of two fermion fields can transform as a vector. E.g., a four-vector field A_k can be created from a fermion-antifermion pair as [9]

$$A_k = \Psi^+\gamma_0\gamma_k\Psi, \qquad (7)$$

where γ_k are 4×4 gamma matrices and Ψ is the fermion field. In this regard there is an interesting proposal that the photon is not an elementary particle but rather a composite particle formed of a fermion-antifermion pair (the so called neutrino theory of light). The idea that the photon is a composite particle dates back to 1932, when Louis de Broglie [10] suggested that the photon is composed of a neutrino-antineutrino pair bound together. Work on the neutrino theory of light continues to be of some interest [11–15].

The neutrino theory of light teaches us an important lesson. Namely, it shows that, as in the case of an elementary particle photon, the expectation values of the electric and magnetic field operators for the composite photon also obey classical Maxwell equations [16]. This result is also valid for quantum states of light. That is classical Maxwell equations properly describe electromagnetism even if photon is a composite particle and its constituent fermion fields are not classical. Whether the photon is an elementary particle or a composite particle matters for the boundary and initial conditions in the Maxwell equations and affects the photon statistics. E.g., the composite photon does not exactly obey Bose-Einstein statistics, although the non-Bose terms could be very small to be detected in available experiments [15].

The present paper deals with the vector gravity, rather than photons. However, as we show, the measured value of the energy loss by binary stars due to emission of gravitational waves can be explained in the vector gravity only if the quantum of the vector gravitational field (the graviton) is a composite particle formed of a fermion-antifermion pair. This fact makes a link between vector gravity and the neutrino theory of light. Such a connection indicates that the classical theory of gravity we are proposing must be valid even though the fermion fields that compose the graviton are not classical. In particular, classical equations for the gravitational field that we obtain must properly describe vector gravity, while the

quantum nature of the constituent fermions manifests itself in the initial and boundary conditions.

II. POSTULATES OF THE VECTOR THEORY OF GRAVITY

The present vector theory of gravity is based on four postulates:

1. Background geometry of the Universe is a fixed four dimensional Euclidean space with metric δ_{ik} =diag$(1,1,1,1)$. Such space is completely isotropic and has no preferred directions.

2. In the four dimensional Euclidean space there is a dynamical $4-$vector field A_k (the gravitational field) which breaks the symmetry. Namely, direction of A_k is now preferred and this direction becomes the time coordinate. Directions perpendicular to A_k are three spatial coordinates.

3. Vector gravitational field is coupled to matter and all nongravitational fields through the equivalent metric f_{ik} which is an algebraic function of A_k and the background Euclidean metric δ_{ik}. Gravitational field couples universally and minimally to all the fields of the Standard Model by replacing everywhere the Minkowski metric η_{ik} with equivalent metric f_{ik} and replacing partial derivatives with covariant derivatives formed from f_{ik}. In particular, the trajectories of freely falling bodies are geodesics of the equivalent metric f_{ik} . Action for a point particle with mass m moving in the gravitational field reads

$$S_{\rm matter}=-mc\int\sqrt{f_{ik}dx^i dx^k}, \tag{8}$$

where c is the speed of light. Action (8) has the same form as in general relativity, however, the tensor gravitational field g_{ik} of general relativity is now replaced with the equivalent metric f_{ik}. One should note that the Einstein equivalence principle is a consequence of the action (8).

4. The quantum of the vector field A_k (the graviton) is not an elementary particle, but rather it is a composite particle formed of a massless fermion-antifermion pair. Emission and absorption of a graviton corresponds to creation and annihilation of a particle-antiparticle pair.

For construction of the classical equations for the vector field A_k it does not matter whether the graviton is an elementary particle or a composite particle. However, selection of physical solutions of the classical equations might depend on the graviton composition. The present vector theory of gravity can quantitatively explain the energy loss by binary stars orbiting each other provided that graviton is a composite particle (this demands to select advanced, rather than retarded, potential as a solution of the wave equation).

The postulates 1-3 outlined above allow us to construct the classical vector theory of gravity in a unique way using symmetries of the action $S_{\rm matter}$ (8). Such symmetries uniquely specify the structure of the total action. We proceed with assembling the theory in the next sections.

III. EQUIVALENT METRIC

In Appendix A we show that Einstein equivalence principle yields the following unique expression for the equivalent metric f_{ik} in terms of the vector field A_k and the background Euclidean metric δ_{ik}

$$f_{ik}=-\frac{\delta_{ik}}{A}+\left(A+\frac{1}{A}\right)\frac{A_iA_k}{A^2}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$A=\sqrt{A_iA_k\delta^{ik}}. \tag{10}$$

Throughout the paper we use the usual conventions. Namely, unless otherwise noted, there is summation over repeated indices. Lower case Latin indices (i, k, l, ...) label four dimensional coordinates (range 0, 1, 2, 3), while lower case Greek letters α and β denote spatial coordinates (range 1, 2, 3).

In Cartesian coordinate system if we chose x^0-axis along the direction of A_k the equivalent metric is diagonal and reads

$$f_{ik}=\mathrm{diag}\left(A,-\frac{1}{A},-\frac{1}{A},-\frac{1}{A}\right). \tag{11}$$

Since A_k is a dynamical variable one can make a transformation $A_k\to F(A)A_k$, where F is an arbitrary function of A. Such a transformation changes the norm of A_k. It also modifies the expression for the metric (9) and field equations. However, the physical answer, e.g., motion of particles in gravitational field is independent of how we normalize the vector field. Thus, we can choose the field normalization in any suitable way.

Instead of A_k, it is convenient to introduce new independent functions, a scalar ϕ and a unit vector u_k, according to the relations

$$A=e^{2\phi},\quad u_k=\frac{A_k}{A}. \tag{12}$$

The vector u_k has the unit norm

$$u_iu_k\delta^{ik}=1. \tag{13}$$

In terms of the unit vector u_k and the scalar ϕ the equivalent metric (9) reads

$$f_{ik}=-e^{-2\phi}\delta_{ik}+2\cosh(2\phi)u_iu_k, \tag{14}$$

while metric $\tilde{f}^{ik}$ inverse to f_{ik}, defined as $\tilde{f}^{ik}f_{im}=\delta^k_m$, is

$$\tilde{f}^{ik}=-e^{2\phi}\delta^{ik}+2\cosh(2\phi)u^iu^k, \tag{15}$$

where

$$u^i = \delta^{ik} u_k. \tag{16}$$

In the present paper rasing and lowering of the indices is performed using Euclidean metric δ_{ik}, unless otherwise stated. We denote determinant of f_{ik} as f. Equation (14) yields

$$\sqrt{-f} = e^{-2\phi}. \tag{17}$$

One should note that in the literature there were attempts to construct a vector theory of gravity in background Minkowski (rather than Euclidean) metric η_{ik} =diag$(1, -1, -1, -1)$ by Rastall [17, 18] and by the author [19]. The equivalent metric obtained in such theories has a form similar to our Eq. (14) in which Euclidean metric δ_{ik} is replaced with $-\eta_{ik}$ and $\cosh(2\phi)$ is replaced with $\sinh(2\phi)$. However, the main achievement of the present paper compared to the previous work on vector gravity is the discovery of the correct way of building the action for the gravitational field $S_{\rm gravity}$ based on symmetries of the coupling term $S_{\rm matter}$. In the previous attempts to construct the theory $S_{\rm gravity}$ has not possessed symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$ which yielded no success.

IV. ACTION FOR GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

Next we construct action of the system in terms of ϕ and u_k. The total action for the gravitational field and matter is given by

$$S = S_{\rm gravity} + S_{\rm matter}, \tag{18}$$

where $S_{\rm matter}$ is the action of matter written in curvilinear coordinates with the metric f_{ik}. We obtain the action for the gravitational field $S_{\rm gravity}$ using the requirement that symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$ and $S_{\rm gravity}$ must be the same. $S_{\rm matter}$ possesses the following symmetry: it is invariant under coordinate transformations that leave background Euclidean metric δ_{ik} intact. This symmetry is exact. In addition there are approximate symmetries. For small deviations of ϕ from a constant value ϕ_0 and small deviations of u_k from $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ in the rescaled coordinates

$$x^0 \to e^{-\phi_0} x^0, \qquad x^\alpha \to e^{\phi_0} x^\alpha \tag{19}$$

the equivalent metric is given by

$$f_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} \\ h_{01} & h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{02} & 0 & h_{00} & 0 \\ h_{03} & 0 & 0 & h_{00} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$h_{00} = 2(\phi - \phi_0), \qquad h_{0\alpha} = 2\cosh(2\phi_0) u_\alpha, \tag{21}$$

$\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ and η_{ik} =diag$(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is the Minkowski metric. For small deviations of f_{ik} from the Minkowski metric we obtain [20]

$$\delta S_{\rm matter} = -\frac{1}{2c} \int d^4x \sqrt{-f} T^{ik} \delta f_{ik} \approx$$

$$-\frac{1}{2c} \int d^4x \left(T^{00} h_{00} + 2T^{0\alpha} h_{0\alpha} + T^{\alpha\alpha} h_{00} \right), \tag{22}$$

where T^{ik} is the energy-momentum tensor of matter.

One can see that in the rescaled coordinates the action $S_{\rm matter}$ is independent of the background cosmological field ϕ_0. This is one of the symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$. Another symmetry can be found by taking into account Eq. (22) and approximate energy conservation in the Minkowski metric

$$\frac{\partial T^{00}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial T^{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0 \tag{23}$$

which yields that action $S_{\rm matter}$ is approximately invariant under the gauge transformation

$$h_{00} \to h_{00} + 2\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^0}, \quad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^\alpha} \tag{24}$$

upto the terms quadratic in the mass velocity V. Here ψ is an arbitrary scalar function.

In addition, there is approximate Lorentz invariance. Namely, the line element that enters the matter action (8) can be approximately written in the metric (20) as

$$ds^2 = f_{ik} dx^i dx^k \approx$$

$$\eta_{ik} dx^i dx^k + h_{00} (dx^0)^2 + 2h_{0\alpha} dx^0 dx^\alpha + h_{00} d\mathbf{r}^2 \tag{25}$$

which is invariant (upto the terms of the order of V^3/c^3) under transformation

$$x^0 \to \left(1 + \frac{V^2}{2c^2}\right) x^0 + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c} x^0, \tag{26}$$

$$h_{00} \to h_{00} \left(1 + \frac{2V^2}{c^2}\right) - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c} h_{0\alpha}, \quad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c} h_{00}, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{V}$ is a constant (velocity) vector and $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. In Eq. (25) $h_{0\alpha}$ and $d\mathbf{r}/dx^0$ are of the order of V/c.

Requirement that $S_{\rm gravity}$ must also possess these symmetries, namely, $S_{\rm gravity}$ should be invariant under Euclidean transformations; for small deviations from the background field be independent of ϕ_0 after scaling transformation (19); and approximately invariant under the gauge (24) and low-velocity Lorentz (26), (27) transformations, allows us to find $S_{\rm gravity}$ uniquely[1]. In Appendix

[1] As a matter of fact, to fix $S_{\rm gravity}$ uniquely one should also use condition of gauge symmetry of the action in a higher post-Newtonian order. We discuss this in Appendix B

B we obtain that the gravitational field action in the background four dimensional Euclidean space is

$$S_{\rm gravity}=\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\left(-\delta^{ik}+\left(1-3e^{-4\phi}\right)u^iu^k\right)\right.$$

$$+\cosh^2(2\phi)\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^l}\Big(\delta^{im}\delta^{kl}-\delta^{il}\delta^{km}-$$

$$\left.\left(1+e^{-4\phi}\right)\delta^{im}u^ku^l\Big)+2\left(1+e^{-4\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^k}\delta^{im}u^k\right]. \tag{28}$$

where G is the gravitational constant.

Action (28) is written in Euclidean metric, it has no free parameters and serves as a foundation of the present theory of gravity. Our derivation of the action (28) is unique and, hence, the present vector theory of gravity is also a unique consequence of the postulates 1-3.

V. EQUATIONS FOR GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

Taking variation of the total action (18) with respect to ϕ and unit vector u_k yields four equations for the gravitational field in the background Euclidean space (see Appendix C for derivation details)

$$\left[\delta^{mk}u^i-2\delta^{im}u^k+\left(1+3e^{-4\phi}\right)u^mu^ku^i\right]\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^m\partial x^k}$$

$$+2\left[\delta^{im}+\left(3e^{-4\phi}-1\right)u^mu^i\right]\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}u^k$$

$$+2\left[e^{4\phi}\left(\delta^k_l\delta^{im}-\delta^i_l\delta^{mk}\right)+\delta^i_l\delta^{mk}-\delta^m_l\delta^{ik}\right]\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^m}$$

$$+\left[2\left(e^{4\phi}-2e^{-4\phi}-1\right)\delta^i_lu^mu^k-\left(1+3e^{-4\phi}\right)\delta^m_lu^iu^k\right.$$

$$\left.-\left(2e^{4\phi}+3e^{-4\phi}+1\right)\delta^k_lu^mu^i\right]\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^m}$$

$$+\cosh(2\phi)\left[e^{2\phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\left(\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x_i}-\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_k}\right)+e^{-2\phi}u_mu^i\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_k\partial x^k}\right.$$

$$\left.+2\cosh(2\phi)u^ku^l\frac{\partial^2u^i}{\partial x^l\partial x^k}-\left(e^{2\phi}+2e^{-2\phi}\right)u^mu^i\frac{\partial^2u^k}{\partial x^k\partial x^m}\right]$$

$$+2\cosh^2(2\phi)\left[\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}\left(u^ku^m\right)-\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^l}u^l\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^k}u^i+\left(1+2e^{-4\phi}\right)\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x^l}u^mu^lu^i\right]$$

$$=\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T^{ik}-\frac{T}{2}\tilde{f}^{ik}\right)u_k, \tag{29}$$

where T^{ik} is the energy-momentum tensor of matter, $T=T^{mk}f_{mk}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor and $\tilde{f}^{ik}$ is the metric inverse to f_{ik} given by Eq. (15). The right hand side of Eqs. (29) is the source of the gravitational field.

Equations (29) for the scalar ϕ and the unit vector u_k are the main equations of the vector theory of gravity. They are written in Euclidean metric which means that raising and lowering of indexes is carried out using metric δ_{ik} =diag(1, 1, 1, 1). Equations (29) play the same role in vector gravity as Einstein equations in general relativity.

In our theory the motion of particles in gravitational field is described by the same equation as in general relativity

$$\frac{d^2x^b}{ds^2}=\frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{bl}\left[\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x^l}-\frac{\partial f_{lk}}{\partial x^i}-\frac{\partial f_{il}}{\partial x^k}\right]\frac{dx^i}{ds}\frac{dx^k}{ds}, \tag{30}$$

where $ds=\sqrt{f_{ik}dx^idx^k}$. In Eq. (30) the metric g_{ik} of general relativity is replaced with the equivalent metric f_{ik}. We obtain equation of particle motion in Appendix D.

Next we explore solutions of gravitational field equations (29) for various cases.

VI. STATIC GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

In this section we consider gravitational field produced by rest matter distributed with density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. For static field $u_k=(1,0,0,0)$, scalar ϕ depends only on spatial coordinates $\mathbf{r}$ and the equivalent metric (14) reads

$$f_{ik}=\begin{pmatrix}e^{2\phi(\mathbf{r})}&0&0&0\\0&-e^{-2\phi(\mathbf{r})}&0&0\\0&0&-e^{-2\phi(\mathbf{r})}&0\\0&0&0&-e^{-2\phi(\mathbf{r})}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{31}$$

$\sqrt{-f}=e^{-2\phi}$, while the inverse metric is

$$\tilde{f}^{ik}=\begin{pmatrix}e^{-2\phi}&0&0&0\\0&-e^{2\phi}&0&0\\0&0&-e^{2\phi}&0\\0&0&0&-e^{2\phi}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

For static field the energy-momentum tensor of matter has only one nonzero component T^{00} which depends on spatial coordinates. This component can be found from the conservation equation

$$T^{ik}_{;k}=0, \tag{33}$$

where ";" stands for the covariant derivative with metric f_{ik}. Equation (33) yields $T^{00} = \rho c^2 e^{\phi}$ and $T = \rho c^2 e^{3\phi}$, where ρ is the mass density which is independent of ϕ.

For static gravitational field Eqs. (29) reduce to a single equation for $\phi(\mathbf{r})$

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}\rho e^{\phi}. \tag{34}$$

In the Newtonian limit Eq. (34) yields $\Delta\phi = 4\pi G\rho e^{\phi_0}/c^2$ and, thus, $c^2\phi(\mathbf{r})$ has a meaning of gravitational potential.

Exponential metric solution (31) is free of black holes for any mass distribution and field strength. For a point mass M located at $r = 0$ Eq. (34) leads to $c^2\Delta\phi = 4\pi GM\delta(\mathbf{r})$ and has a solution $\phi = -GM/c^2 r$. For N point masses located at $\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N$ Eq. (34) yields

$$\Delta\phi = 4\pi \left[m_1\delta(\mathbf{r}_1) + \ldots + m_N\delta(\mathbf{r}_N)\right], \tag{35}$$

where $m_1, \ldots, m_N$ are positive constants. Solution of Eq. (35) is

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{m_1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_1|} - \ldots - \frac{m_N}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_N|}. \tag{36}$$

We discuss motion of particles in static gravitational field in Appendix E. For a star of mass M and radius R Eq. (36) reduces to $\phi(r) = -GM/c^2 r$ $(r \geq R)$ and using Eq. (E1) for energy conservation we obtain that escape velocity for a particle from the stellar surface is

$$v = c_s\sqrt{1 - e^{2\phi(R)}}, \tag{37}$$

where $c_s = ce^{2\phi(R)}$ is the speed of light at the stellar surface (see Eq. (E9)). Equation (37) shows that escape velocity is always smaller then c_s $(c_s \leq c)$.

One should note that exponential metric (31) was also obtained for static field in some alternative theories of gravity [18, 19, 21–25] and based on simple physical arguments in [17, 26, 27]. Stability of compact stars in the exponential metric has been investigated in the literature. It has been shown that solution (31) predicts that stars do not collapse into a point singularity but rather form stable compact objects with no event horizon and finite gravitational redshift [28].

VII. POST-NEWTONIAN LIMIT

Post-Newtonian limit applies when the gravitational field is weak, and the motion of the matter is slow. It is sufficiently accurate to encompass all solar-system tests of gravity performed so far. In the post-Newtonian formalism the metric is expanded in a small parameter ϵ. The "order of smallness" is determined according to the rules that matter velocity is of order $V \sim \epsilon^{1/2}$ and gravitational constant $G \sim \epsilon$. A consistent post-Newtonian limit requires determination of g_{00} correction through $O(\epsilon^2)$, $g_{0\alpha}$ through $O(\epsilon^{3/2})$, and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ through $O(\epsilon)$ [2].

We compare the vector theory of gravity with general relativity in the post-Newtonian limit in the cosmological reference frame (the mean rest frame of the Universe in which the Universe appears isotropic) for which background equivalent metric f_{ik} is diagonal and after rescaling coordinates reduces to Minkowski metric. Let us consider small deviations h_{ik} of the tensor gravitational field g_{ik} from the Minkowski metric η_{ik}

$$g_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + h_{ik}. \tag{38}$$

In the post-Newtonian limit of general relativity [20]

$$h^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -h_{00}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{39}$$

and the tensor gravitational field g_{ik} is described by four independent functions h_{0k}, so that metric is given by

$$g_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} \\ h_{01} & h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{02} & 0 & h_{00} & 0 \\ h_{03} & 0 & 0 & h_{00} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

In the present vector theory of gravity in the post-Newtonian limit after rescaling of coordinates in the cosmological reference frame the equivalent metric f_{ik} also has the form of Eq. (40) (see Eq. (20)). Therefore, in both theories in the post-Newtonian limit the gravitational fields are described by an equal number of independent functions which are coupled with matter in the same way. Since, by construction of both theories, such coupling uniquely specifies the total action and, hence, the field equations, the general relativity and the vector theory of gravity are identical in the post-Newtonian limit.

To convince the reader that this is indeed the case, in Appendix F we show directly that in the post-Newtonian limit the general relativity and the vector theory of gravity give the same equations for h_{0k} in the cosmological reference frame

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2}h_{00}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla h_{00}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{00} - \frac{1}{2}g_{00}T\right), \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{0\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha}\partial x^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^{\alpha}} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{0\alpha}. \tag{42}$$

Boundary conditions for h_{0k} are also the same and, therefore, both theories are equivalent in the post-Newtonian limit.

We made comparison of the theories in the cosmological reference frame in which equivalent metric of the vector gravity after rescaling coordinates has the form of Eq. (40). Since both theories are equivalent in such a

frame in the post-Newtonian limit they are also equivalent in a frame moving with non relativistic velocity relative to the mean rest frame of the Universe. As a consequence, the vector theory of gravity, similarly to general relativity, yields no post-Newtonian preferred frame and preferred location effects. For vector gravity the ten post-Newtonian parameters introduced to compare metric theories of gravity with each other [2, 3] have the same values as in general relativity.

VIII. WEAK FIELD LIMIT

A. Linearized gravitational field equations

In this section we linearize equations for gravitational field in the cosmological reference frame assuming that unit vector u_k slightly deviates from $(1,0,0,0)$. For small deviations of ϕ from a constant value ϕ_0 and $|u_\alpha| \ll 1$, keeping linear terms, Eqs. (29) yield

$$\Delta\phi + 3e^{-4\phi_0}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} - 2e^{-2\phi_0}\cosh(2\phi_0)\frac{\partial^2 u^\beta}{\partial x^0\partial x^\beta}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T^{00} - \frac{T}{2}\tilde{f}^{00}\right), \tag{43}$$

$$\cosh(2\phi_0)\left(e^{2\phi_0}\frac{\partial^2 u^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha\partial x^\beta} - e^{2\phi_0}\Delta u^\alpha + e^{-2\phi_0}\frac{\partial^2 u^\alpha}{\partial x^0\partial x^0}\right)$$

$$- 2\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^0} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T^{\alpha 0}. \tag{44}$$

In the rescaled coordinates

$$x^0 \to e^{-\phi_0}x^0, \qquad x^\alpha \to e^{\phi_0}x^\alpha \tag{45}$$

the equivalent metric is given by

$$f_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} \\ h_{01} & h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{02} & 0 & h_{00} & 0 \\ h_{03} & 0 & 0 & h_{00} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{46}$$

where

$$h_{00} = 2(\phi - \phi_0), \quad h_{0\alpha} = 2\cosh(2\phi_0)u_\alpha \tag{47}$$

and $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. In terms of h_{0k} equations for the gravitational field in the weak field limit read

$$\Delta h_{00} + 3\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0\partial x^\beta} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}\left(T^{00} - \frac{T}{2}\right), \tag{48}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} - \Delta\right)h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^\beta} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^0} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}T^{\alpha 0}. \tag{49}$$

In these equations the energy-momentum tensor of matter T^{ik} is written in Minkowski metric. Equations (48) and (49) are invariant upto the terms of the order of V^2/c^2 under Lorentz transformation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\nabla, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\mathbf{V}}{2c^2}\left(\mathbf{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right), \tag{50}$$

$$h_{00} \to h_{00}\left(1 + \frac{2V^2}{c^2}\right) - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{0\alpha}, \quad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{00}, \tag{51}$$

$$T^{00} \to T^{00} + T^{00}\frac{V^2}{c^2} + 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}T^{0\alpha}, \quad T^{\alpha 0} \to T^{\alpha 0} + \frac{V^\alpha}{c}T^{00} \tag{52}$$

for which h_{0k} and T^{0k} transform as symmetric tensors with $h_{\alpha\alpha} = h_{00}$, and $\mathbf{V}$ is a constant (velocity) vector.

Equation (30) yields that non relativistic motion of particles in weak gravitational field is described by the following equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{dV^\alpha}{dt} = \frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \left(\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\right)\frac{V^\beta}{c} + \frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{V^\alpha}{c}, \tag{53}$$

where $V^\alpha = dx^\alpha/dt$ is the particle velocity.

In Appendix G we explore an analogy between weak gravity and electrodynamics and show that equations for weak gravitational field are analogous to Maxwell's equations in a medium with negative refractive index.

B. Energy density and energy flux

In Appendix H we derive expression for the energy density and energy density flux (Poynting vector) for the weak gravitational field interacting with matter that moves with nonrelativistic velocity. We find the following expression for the energy density

$$W = -\frac{c^4}{32\pi G}\left[3\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 - (\nabla h_{00})^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 + \mathrm{curl}^2\mathbf{h}\right]$$

$$+ \rho c^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho c^2 h_{00} + \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2, \tag{54}$$

where ρ is the mass density, $\mathbf{V}$ is the matter velocity and three dimensional vector

$$\mathbf{h} = h_{0\alpha}. \tag{55}$$

The energy density flux is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{c^5}{16\pi G}\left[\left(2\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0} \times \mathrm{curl}\ \mathbf{h}\right] + \rho c\mathbf{V}. \tag{56}$$

Equation of the energy conservation reads

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x^0} + \mathrm{div}\mathbf{S} = 0. \tag{57}$$

C. Gravitational waves

The weak field limit homogeneous equations for the gravitational field

$$\Delta h_{00} + 3\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^0} = 0, \tag{58}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \Delta\right) h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^0} = 0 \tag{59}$$

have solutions describing waves propagating with the speed of light c. Taking $\partial/\partial x^0$ from Eq. (58) and $(1/2)\partial/\partial x^\alpha$ from Eq. (59) and adding them together we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right) = 0. \tag{60}$$

Thus, for the time-dependent solutions describing gravitational waves

$$\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0 \tag{61}$$

and Eqs. (58), (59) reduce to separate wave equations for h_{00} and $h_{0\alpha}$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \Delta\right) h_{00} = 0, \tag{62}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \Delta\right) h_{0\alpha} = 0. \tag{63}$$

Field equations have two classes of solutions corresponding to transverse and longitudinal waves. For transverse waves

$$\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0, \qquad h_{00} = 0 \tag{64}$$

and, e.g., for a transverse wave propagating along the $x-$axis the equivalent metric reads

$$f^{\rm tr}_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & h_{02}(t,x) & h_{03}(t,x) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_{02}(t,x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_{03}(t,x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{65}$$

According to Eqs. (54) and (56), the energy density and the energy density flux of the transverse gravitational wave is

$$W_{\rm tr} = -\frac{c^4}{32\pi G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 + {\rm curl}^2 \mathbf{h}\right], \tag{66}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\rm tr} = \frac{c^5}{16\pi G}\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0} \times {\rm curl}(\mathbf{h}). \tag{67}$$

Thus, graviton has negative energy. This result has important implication for cosmology. If graviton energy is negative and the matter energy is positive this suggests that at the Big Bang matter was created at the expense of generation of the negative energy gravitons. Hence, Universe must be filled with primordial gravitational waves. We address this issue in Sec. X.

For a plane transverse wave

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_0 \cos(\omega t - \mathbf{kr}) \tag{68}$$

Eq. (67) yields

$$\mathbf{S}_{\rm tr} = -\frac{c^4 h_0^2 \omega}{16\pi G}\mathbf{k}\sin^2(\omega t - \mathbf{kr}), \tag{69}$$

that is Poynting vector which gives the direction of the energy flow is opposite to the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. This is analogous to propagation of electromagnetic waves in a medium with negative refractive index [29]. Thus, vacuum for the vector gravitational field is left-handed.

For a longitudinal wave $h_{00} \neq 0$ and $\partial h_{0\alpha}/\partial x^\alpha = 2\partial h_{00}/\partial x^0$. For such wave propagating along the $x-$axis the metric oscillates as

$$f^{\rm long}_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{00}(t,x) & h_{01}(t,x) & 0 & 0 \\ h_{01}(t,x) & h_{00}(t,x) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{00}(t,x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_{00}(t,x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{70}$$

As we show in Sec. XI, binary stars orbiting each other do not emit longitudinal gravitational waves.

One should mention that gravitational waves in the vector gravity substantially differ from those in general relativity. In general relativity the metric for weak plane gravitational waves propagating along the $x-$axis in a properly chosen coordinate system reads [20]

$$g_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{22}(t,x) & h_{23}(t,x) \\ 0 & 0 & h_{23}(t,x) & -h_{22}(t,x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{71}$$

where h_{23} and h_{22} are small perturbations obeying the wave equation

$$\Box h_{22} = 0, \qquad \Box h_{23}, \tag{72}$$

where $\Box$ is the d'Alembertian operator. By making proper change of coordinates one can transform Eqs. (65) and (70) for plane waves in vector gravity to

$$f^{\rm tr}_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{12}(t,x) & h_{13}(t,x) \\ 0 & h_{12}(t,x) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{13}(t,x) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{73}$$

$$f^{\rm long}_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2h(t,x) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h(t,x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h(t,x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{74}$$

which have different structure than general relativistic Eq. (71). Thus, measuring polarization of gravitational waves with gravitational wave detectors could provide a test of the vector gravity.

IX. COSMOLOGY

In this section we apply our theory to evolution of the Universe. Cosmological model of the Universe is an effective model which replaces a complicated infinitely large spatially nonuniform system of moving matter with those that has uniform density distribution and zero velocity. In such a model the unit gravitational field vector is $u_k = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and the scalar ϕ depends only on time. However, correct description of the Universe evolution requires solution of the full system of spatially nonuniform equations for the gravitational field and then averaging of the result over the large scales. Symmetry arguments yield that such an averaging can give an effective gravitational field action with an additional cosmological term of the form

$$S_{\rm cosm} = -c\Lambda \int d^4x\sqrt{-f}, \tag{75}$$

where Λ is a constant independent of the gravitational field. The structure of $S_{\rm cosm}$ follows from the requirement that after rescaling of coordinates (19) the action should be independent of the background cosmological field. This is one of the symmetries of the full action and the effective action must possess such a symmetry. The cosmological term appears due to replacement of the exact equations with the equations for the averaged metric which is spatially uniform and isotropic. Since the term (75) is an effective, Λ depends on the choice of coordinate system, namely on the reference frame in which we perform the spatial averaging.

For cosmology, instead of ϕ, it is convenient to use a scale (expansion) factor

$$a = e^{-\phi}$$

as a variable. In terms of a the equivalent metric (14) reads

$$f_{ik} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{76}$$

and $\sqrt{-f} = a^2$. Components of the energy-momentum tensor of matter can be obtained from the conservation equation (33) and are given by $T^{00} = \rho c^2/a$ and $T = \rho c^2/a^3$, where ρ is a constant that has a meaning of the matter density for $a = 1$.

Spatial part of nonlinear gravitational field equations (29) ($i = \alpha = 1, 2, 3$) gives linear equation for $a(t, \mathbf{r})$

$$\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^\alpha \partial t} = 0. \tag{77}$$

Solution of this equation with the initial condition $a(t = 0, \mathbf{r}) = b(\mathbf{r})$ is

$$a(t, \mathbf{r}) = a(t) + b(\mathbf{r}), \tag{78}$$

where $a(t)$ is an arbitrary function of time such that $a(0) = 0$. If at the Big Bang ($t = 0$) the Universe was inhomogeneous then subsequent expansion makes the spatially uniform term $a(t)$ in Eq. (78) much larger than $b(\mathbf{r})$ which is time independent. Therefore, we can omit $b(\mathbf{r})$ and treat metric as spatially uniform.

Thus, present theory predicts that shortly after Big Bang the Universe becomes spatially flat and homogeneous on the large scales regardless of the initial condition. This is not the case for general relativity and known as the problems of large-scale homogeneity and flatness of the Universe. To resolve these problems, cosmological models based on general relativity require stage of inflation and introduction of additional hypothetical field, the inflaton, responsible for inflation. Vector gravity does not need additional fields.

Temporal part of Eqs. (29) ($i = 0$) with the cosmological term yield the following equation for $a(t)$

$$-\frac{d}{dt}(a\dot{a}) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{2a^3} - \Lambda\right) \tag{79}$$

which shows that matter decelerates expansion of the Universe, while the $\Lambda-$term accelerates it (provided $\Lambda > 0$). In Eq. (79) a dot denotes derivative with respect to time t. Integration of Eq. (79) gives

$$\dot{a}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{a^3} + \Lambda\right) + \frac{C}{a^2}, \tag{80}$$

where C is an integration constant which is proportional to the total energy density of the Universe. Indeed, in the metric (76) the action (18) with the additional cosmological term (75) reads

$$S = -\int d^4x \left[\frac{3c}{8\pi G}a^2\dot{a}^2 + c\Lambda a^2 + \frac{\rho c}{a}\right]. \tag{81}$$

Hence, the Lagrangian density is

$$L = -\frac{3c^2}{8\pi G}a^2\dot{a}^2 - c^2\Lambda a^2 - \frac{\rho c^2}{a} \tag{82}$$

which yields the following conserved Hamiltonian density (the total energy density) W

$$W = \dot{a}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}} - L = -\frac{3c^2}{8\pi G}a^2\dot{a}^2 + c^2\Lambda a^2 + \frac{\rho c^2}{a}. \tag{83}$$

Therefore, integration constant C in Eq. (80) is

$$C = -\frac{8\pi G}{3c^2}W. \tag{84}$$

Observations indicate that $C = 0$, or, at least, that in the present epoch the term C/a^2 in Eq. (80) is small compared to other terms. Since the term C/a^2 evolves

as $1/a^2$, while the matter term is proportional to $1/a^3$ the total energy density in the early Universe must be equal to zero with very high precision. Namely, for small a the term C/a^2 becomes very small as compared to the matter contribution. Thus, positive energy of matter in the Universe is balanced by the negative energy of the gravitational field giving zero net energy. This result can be considered as an observational evidence that matter in the Universe has been produced at the expense of generation of the gravitational field with negative energy.

On the other hand, in the metric (76), Einstein equations with the cosmological constant term give our Eq. (80) with $C = 0$. Indeed, Einstein equations (2) with the extra cosmological term $\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\Lambda g_{ik}$ in the left hand side yield for $ik = \alpha\alpha$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^3\dot{a}\right) = 8\pi G\left(\frac{\rho}{2a} + \Lambda a^2\right). \tag{85}$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (85) by $a^3\dot{a}$ and integrating over time we obtain

$$\dot{a}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{a^3} + \Lambda\right) + \frac{C_1}{a^6}, \tag{86}$$

where C_1 is an integration constant which, according to observations, is equal to zero. For $C_1 = C = 0$ Eqs. (80) and (86) are identical. Thus, general relativity and vector gravity predict the same evolution of the Universe which agrees with available cosmological data for a certain value of Λ. General relativity, however, does not predict the value of Λ.

To find the value of the cosmological constant Λ in vector gravity we must start from the full system of equations (29) for nonuniform matter distribution without the cosmological term and average them over the large scales. We perform this procedure for the linearized equations for which the answer can be found exactly. Then we will match the nonlinear equation (79) with the exact linearized equations (which do not have the $\Lambda-$term) and obtain the value of Λ in the effective cosmological model.

We calculate Λ in our coordinate system, that is at the present time. To do so we linearize Eq. (79) near $a = a_{\rm now}$ and rescale time and coordinates as $t \to a_{\rm now}t$ and $x_\alpha \to x_\alpha/a_{\rm now}$. In the vicinity of the present time the equivalent metric is

$$f_{ik} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+h_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1+h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1+h_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1+h_{00} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{87}$$

where $h_{00} = -2(a - a_{\rm now})/a_{\rm now}$ and linearization of Eq. (79) yields the following equation for h_{00}

$$3\ddot{h}_{00} + 16\pi G\Lambda = 8\pi G\frac{\rho}{a^3_{\rm now}}. \tag{88}$$

On the other hand, the full system of linearized nonuniform equations without cosmological term is (see Eqs. (48) and (49))

$$\Delta h_{00} + 3\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0\partial x^\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T^{00}_{\rm now}, \tag{89}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} - \Delta\right)h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^\beta} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^0} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}T^{0\alpha}_{\rm now}, \tag{90}$$

where we took into account that for nonrelativistic matter $\tilde{f}^{00}T = T^{00}$. Taking $2\partial/\partial x^0$ from both sides of Eq. (89) and divergence from both sides of Eq. (90), adding them together and using the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial T^{00}_{\rm now}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial T^{0\alpha}_{\rm now}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\partial x^0}\left(2\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = 0. \tag{91}$$

Integration yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\left(2\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = F(\mathbf{r}), \tag{92}$$

where $F(\mathbf{r})$ is a function of spatial coordinates.

Equation (91) has the following physical meaning. Change in time of the spatial scale (given by h_{00}) can be viewed as motion of masses relative to each other. This matter current produces vector field $h_{0\alpha}$ which, according to Eq. (91), has nonzero divergence. Relation between $\partial h_{00}/\partial x^0$ and $\partial h_{0\beta}/\partial x^\beta$ should be independent of what causes h_{00} to change (expansion of the Universe or motion of a nearby star). If time dependence of h_{00} is produced by a moving star then solution is bound and average of the full derivative in the left hand side of Eq. (92) over time vanishes. Since $F(\mathbf{r})$ is independent of time we obtain $F(\mathbf{r}) = \langle F(\mathbf{r})\rangle_t = 0$ and, therefore, Eq. (92) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0\partial x^\beta} = 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0\partial x^0}. \tag{93}$$

Equation (93) must be also valid for the evolution of the Universe. Physically, expansion of the Universe changes spatial scale which can be treated as an effective matter current directed away from a local observer. Such current produces vector field $h_{0\alpha}$ (according to Eq. (93) change of h_{00} with time induces $h_{0\alpha}$). This vector field makes the third term in (89) nonzero which acts as the cosmological term in the evolution equation. Since, according to Eq. (54), the energy density of the vector field is negative the cosmological term accelerates expansion of the Universe.

Substituting Eq. (93) into Eq. (89) we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0\partial x^0} = \Delta h_{00} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T^{00}_{\rm now}. \tag{94}$$

Next we average Eq. (94) over the large scales so that $T^{00}_{\rm now}$ becomes spatially uniform. In the effective cosmological model the averaged metric (76) is diagonal and depends only on time (see discussion after Eq. (78)). Therefore, spatial averaging of Δh_{00} must be equal to zero and Eq. (94) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\left\langle h_{00}\right\rangle = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left\langle T^{00}_{\rm now}\right\rangle. \tag{95}$$

On the other hand, spatial averaging of Eq. (93) gives that

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right\rangle = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\left\langle h_{00}\right\rangle \tag{96}$$

is not equal to zero. This is consistent with our assumptions. Indeed, Eq. (96) can be satisfied by choosing $h_{0\beta}$ as an odd function of coordinates, $h_{0\beta}\propto x_\beta$. Thus, spatial averaging of $h_{0\beta}$ in the local region yields zero and, hence, the averaged metric $\langle h_{ik}\rangle$ is diagonal and spatially homogeneous.

Comparing Eq. (88) with Eq. (95) and taking into account that

$$\left\langle T^{00}_{\rm now}\right\rangle = \frac{\rho c^2}{a^3_{\rm now}} \tag{97}$$

we find the following value for the cosmological constant

$$\Lambda = \frac{2\rho}{a^3_{\rm now}}. \tag{98}$$

Thus, at the present time the cosmological term contribution (dark energy) is twice as much as the energy of matter. Therefore, the ratio between the energy density due to the cosmological constant and the critical density of the Universe $\Omega_{\rm critical}=3\dot a^2_{\rm now}/8\pi G=\rho_{\rm matter}+\Lambda$ is

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\Omega_{\rm critical}}=\frac{2}{3}\approx 0.67. \tag{99}$$

This is also the case in any other reference frame. That is an observer who lives billion years before or after would find the same answer for $\Lambda/\Omega_{\rm critical}$ in his reference frame. Our prediction has no free parameters and agrees with the recent Planck result which measured $\Lambda/\Omega_{\rm critical}$ and obtained 0.686 ± 0.02 [7].

In the present theory the $\Lambda-$term appears as a solution of equations. It comes from the gravitational part (left hand side) of Eqs. (29) as a result of averaging of the term $\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0\partial x^\beta}$ over the large scales. Such average does not vanish in Eq. (89) if we make the transition to the infinitely large size of the Universe properly.

The physical meaning of the dark energy becomes clear if we compare the exact expression for the weak field limit Lagrangian density with those obtained from the effective cosmological action containing the $\Lambda-$term. Keeping only the relevant contributions the weak field limit Lagrangian density in the rescaled coordinates reads (see Eq. (B6))

$$L=-\frac{3c^2}{32\pi G}\left(\dot h_{00}\right)^2-\frac{c^2}{32\pi G}\dot{\mathbf h}^2-\rho c^2, \tag{100}$$

where $\mathbf h=h_{0\alpha}$ depends on time and spatial coordinates. On the other hand, linearization of the effective cosmological Lagrangian (82) yields

$$L=-\frac{3c^2}{32\pi G}\left(\dot h_{00}\right)^2-c^2\Lambda-\rho c^2. \tag{101}$$

Thus,

$$\Lambda=\frac{1}{32\pi G}\left\langle\dot{\mathbf h}^2\right\rangle \tag{102}$$

and dark energy is the average energy of the vector (spatial) part of the gravitational field. From the perspective of a local observer the change in the spatial scale caused by the Universe expansion is equivalent to a matter current directed away from the observer. Such current generates the time-dependent field $\mathbf h$ which is analogous to generation of the vector potential $\mathbf A$ by a current in classical electrodynamics. The value of the current depends on the matter density and on the expansion rate of the Universe which, in turn, is a function of the matter density. Thus, the value of the cosmological constant Λ is determined by the averaged matter density in the reference frame of the observer (matter density at the moment the observer measures Λ).

X. CONTENT OF THE UNIVERSE

Vector theory of gravity explains the nature of dark energy as the energy of gravitational field induced by the Universe expansion. Such energy is negative and accelerates expansion of the Universe. According to the present theory, the Universe is made of matter (dark matter and ordinary matter) and gravitational field. Observations indicate that the total energy of the Universe is equal to zero (see discussion after Eq. (84)).

As we show in Sec. VIII C the graviton has negative energy. This suggests that at the Big Bang matter was created at the expense of generation of the negative energy gravitons. As a result, the Universe is filled with primordial gravitational waves. Since the pressure is determined by the wave momentum, rather than energy, the gas of gravitons has positive pressure P. For such a gas the equation of state reads

$$P=-\frac{W_g}{3}, \tag{103}$$

where W_g is the graviton energy density. Since the energy momentum tensor of an isotropic gas is

$$T^{ik}=\begin{pmatrix} W_g & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & P & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & P & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P\end{pmatrix} \tag{104}$$

we obtain that for the gas of gravitons

$$T^{00} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{00}T = \frac{1}{2}\left(W_g + 3P\right) = 0. \qquad (105)$$

According to Eqs. (29), the combination $T^{00} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{00}T$ is the source of gravitational field. This combination is also the source of gravitational field in general relativity. Namely, it determines gravitational mass of an object (Komar mass) in terms of the energy-momentum tensor. Since for the primordial gravitational waves $T^{00} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{00}T = 0$ they do not produce gravitational field and, hence, they do not contribute to the gravitational acceleration measured by an accelerometer. Equation (105) also yields that primordial gravitons do not change the cosmological Eq. (79) and, thus, they have no effect on expansion rate of the Universe.

According to the postulate 4 of our theory the graviton is a composite particle, namely it is composed of a fermion-antifermion pair. Since no more than one fermion can occupy the same quantum state the matter generation at the Big Bang has continued until fermion states were filled. The following Universe expansion practically did not change the fermion occupation number and states remain filled in the present epoch. These filled states are the gas of primordial gravitons. However, since graviton gas produces no effect on matter it can be treated as a vacuum.

Analogy with the composite photon theory [15] suggests that the fermion-antifermion pair that composes the graviton is coupled to matter with the gravitational constant G, while a single fermion interacts with a much weaker coupling constant. As a consequence, the energy scales associated with the graviton and its constituent fermion are very different. The Planck energy is the characteristic quantum energy scale for the graviton and the corresponding Planck frequency is

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{\hbar G}} = 1.8 \times 10^{43}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}.$$

Perhaps at the Big Bang the gravitational waves have been generated upto the Planck frequency. However, states of the constituent fermions are filled up to a much higher frequency which is determined by their coupling constant. Such frequency can not be predicted in the framework of the present theory.

Constituent fermions have both positive and negative energy states. The number of negative energy states which are filled at the Big Bang is greater than those with positive energy. So that the net energy of the graviton gas is negative. Probably shortly after the Big Bang the negative energy of the graviton gas has been transferred to the kinetic energy of the Universe expansion (the first term in the right hand side of Eq. (83)) and the number of negative and positive energy states that are filled became equal. This subject, however, requires detail analysis of cosmological models and is beyond the scope of our paper.

XI. RADIATION OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES BY SYSTEM OF MASSES

In this section we consider radiation of gravitational waves by a system of stars moving with nonrelativistic velocities. Our analysis is also valid for neutron stars which produce strong gravitational field in their vicinity. We assume that stars have masses M_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots)$ and move with velocities $\mathbf{V}_i(t) \ll c$. Spacing between starts is large compared to their dimension, however, the total size of the system is much smaller that the radiation wavelength.

Strong gravitational field of neutron stars demands to keep nonlinear terms in the equations for the gravitational field. Such terms are not confined to a compact region, but extend over all space. However, nonlinear terms decay as $1/r^2$ away from the star and can be large only in the vicinity of a neutron star. We average the gravitational field equations (29) over the volume large compared to the stellar size but much smaller than spacing between stars. After such averaging the source of the gravitational field becomes a sum of $\delta-$functions localized at the star positions. Nonlinear terms in the stellar vicinity are subsumed into the $\delta-$function sources. Nonlinear terms far from the star could give a small correction to the solution in the wave zone of the order of G^2 which we neglect.[2]

As a result, after averaging we obtain the following linear equations for the gravitational field in the cosmological reference frame (cf. Eqs. (48) and (49))

$$\Delta h_{00} + 3\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\sum_i M_i \delta\left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)\right), \qquad (106)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \Delta\right) h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^0}$$

$$= \frac{16\pi G}{c^3}\sum_i M_i^* V_i^\alpha \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \qquad (107)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ are the radii vectors of the stars. In Eq. (106) M_i are the stellar masses measured by a distant observer. However, for neutron stars, M_i^* in Eq. (107) could differ from M_i due to strong-field effects and depend on the stellar equation of state. Next we show that $M_i^* = M_i$ at least upto the second order in the stellar velocity.

Taking $\partial/\partial x^0$ from Eq. (106) and $(1/2)\partial/\partial x^\alpha$ from Eq. (107) and adding these equations together we obtain

$$3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right) =$$

[2] In Appendix I we derive gravitational field produced by a moving neutron star using low-velocity Lorentz transformation (see Eq. (I13)). Such derivation is valid for strong stellar field and agrees with Eq. (115) obtained in this section. This justifies omission of the nonlinear terms in equations far from the star.

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\sum_i\left(M_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t))+\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}M_i^*V_i^\alpha\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t))\right). \tag{108}$$

Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}(t))=-\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left[V^\alpha\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}(t))\right] \tag{109}$$

one can write Eq. (108) as

$$3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\partial x^0}\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right)=$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\sum_i\left(M_i-M_i^*\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t)). \tag{110}$$

The left hand side of Eq. (110) is of the order of $(V/c)^3$. This yields that $M_i-M_i^*$ in the right hand side are of the order of $(V/c)^2$.

Thus, with the required accuracy one can take $M_i^*=M_i$ in Eqs. (106) and (107). The general solution of Eqs. (106) and (107) satisfying the proper boundary condition at infinity reads

$$h_{00}=-\frac{2G}{c^2}\left(C_R\sum_i\frac{M_i}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^R)\right|}+C_A\sum_i\frac{M_i}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^A)\right|}\right), \tag{111}$$

$$h_{0\alpha}=\frac{4G}{c^3}\left(C_R\sum_i\frac{M_iV_i^\alpha(t_i^R)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^R)\right|}+C_A\sum_i\frac{M_iV_i^\alpha(t_i^A)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^A)\right|}\right), \tag{112}$$

where t_i^R and t_i^A and are given by solution of the equations $t=t_i^R+|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^R)|/c$ and $t=t_i^A-|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^A)|/c$ respectively. C_R and C_A are constants satisfying condition

$$C_R+C_A=1. \tag{113}$$

The term with C_R (C_A) corresponds to the retarded (advanced) potentials which describe outgoing (ingoing) wave with phase velocity (wave vector) directed away from (toward) the source. Outgoing wave solution corresponds to emission of gravitational waves, while ingoing solution describes wave absorption. For solution (111)-(112)

$$\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}=0. \tag{114}$$

In the present theory, a graviton is not an elementary particle, but rather it is composed of two massless fermions. The fermion states are filled shortly after the Big Bang. Since graviton emission corresponds to creation of two fermions, gravitons can not be emitted by the binary stars which yields that the term describing outgoing wave vanishes, that is $C_R=0$. Therefore, according to Eq. (113), $C_A=1$ and binary stars orbiting each other are loosing their energy by absorbing primordial gravitons which have negative energy. Such a process is described by Eqs. (111) and (112) with the advanced potential ($C_R=0$ and $C_A=1$).

Since $\sum_i M_i$ is constant, the time dependent part of h_{00} in Eq. (111) vanishes at large r as $1/r^2$. Thus, h_{00} does not contribute to the emission and absorption of the gravitational waves, as in the case of electromagnetic radiation in classical electrodynamics. On the other hand, Eq. (112), which for $C_R=0$ and $C_A=1$ reduces to

$$\mathbf{h}=\frac{4G}{c^3}\sum_i\frac{M_i\mathbf{V}_i(t_i^A)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^A)\right|}, \tag{115}$$

where $\mathbf{h}=h_{0\alpha}$, has very similar form to the vector potential produced by moving charges e_i

$$\mathbf{A}=\frac{1}{c}\sum_i\frac{e_i\mathbf{V}_i(t_i^R)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i(t_i^R)|}. \tag{116}$$

In electrodynamics we use retarded potential because photon emission occurs into empty states. In vector gravity the process is reversed because states of the constituent fermions are filled and, due to the Pauli exclusion principle, graviton emission is impossible. Instead, motion of masses results in absorption of the primordial gravitons. Such a process is described by similar formulas as emission of electromagnetic waves in electrodynamics (cf. Eqs. (115) and (116)).

As a consequence, to obtain the answer for the power P of absorption of the primordial gravitational waves by the system of masses we can apply the formula of classical electrodynamics for the radiation of electromagnetic waves by a system of charges

$$P=\frac{2}{3c^3}\ddot{\mathbf{d}}^2+\frac{1}{180c^5}\dddot{D}_{\alpha\beta}^2+\frac{2}{3c^2}\ddot{\mathbf{m}}^2, \tag{117}$$

where

$$\mathbf{d}=\sum e\mathbf{r} \tag{118}$$

is the electric dipole moment of the system,

$$D_{\alpha\beta}=\sum e\left(3x_\alpha x_\beta-r^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right) \tag{119}$$

is the quadrupole moment,

$$\mathbf{m}=\frac{1}{2c}\sum e\left(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{V}\right) \tag{120}$$

is the magnetic moment and the sum is taken over all charges in the system.

Comparison of Eqs. (115) and (116) yields that in order to obtain power loss due to absorption of primordial gravitons one should in the electrodynamics equations replace the electric charges with $e_i\to -4GM_i/c^2$. In addition, Eq. (67) gives that gravitational energy density flux for a field with $h_{00}\approx$const is

$$\mathbf{S}=\frac{c^5}{16\pi G}\frac{\partial\mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}\times\mathrm{curl}(\mathbf{h}), \tag{121}$$

which is in a factor $c^4/4G$ greater than the corresponding expression for the electromagnetic waves

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{c}{4\pi}\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{H} = -\frac{c}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial x^0}\times\mathrm{curl}(\mathbf{A}). \tag{122}$$

Thus, P for gravity must be also multiplied by $c^4/4G$. Please note that Eqs. (121) and (122) for the gravitational and electromagnetic Poynting vectors have opposite signs. Nevertheless, in both cases the Poynting vector is directed away from the source because electromagnetic radiation generates outgoing waves while for gravity we take the advanced potential and the wave front is ingoing.

Combining all factors together we finally obtain the following expression for the power loss by the system of masses due to absorption of primordial gravitons

$$P = \frac{8G}{3c^3}\ddot{\mathbf{d}}^2 + \frac{G}{45c^5}\dddot{D}_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \frac{32G}{3}\ddot{\mathbf{L}}^2, \tag{123}$$

where we introduced the dipole moment of the system

$$\mathbf{d} = \sum M\mathbf{r}, \tag{124}$$

the quadrupole moment of masses

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \sum M\left(3x_\alpha x_\beta - r^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right) \tag{125}$$

and the net angular momentum

$$\mathbf{L} = \sum M\left(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{V}\right). \tag{126}$$

In these equations the summation is over all masses.

With the required accuracy, the stellar trajectories can be calculated in the Newtonian limit. In such limit

$$\dot{\mathbf{d}} = \sum_i M_i\mathbf{V}_i \tag{127}$$

is the total linear momentum of the isolated system which is a conserved quantity. Therefore, $\ddot{\mathbf{d}}$ vanishes and, hence, there is no dipole "radiation". For an isolated system the total angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$ is also conserved and, thus, the last term in Eq. (123) also vanishes. The fact that $M_i^* = M_i$ in Eqs. (106) and (107) guarantees that inertial mass that determines the dipole moment is the same as mass that generates gravitational waves. One should mention that possible small deviation of M_i^* from M_i in our Eq. (107) due to strong-field effects (which is of the order of $(V/c)^2$) might yield contribution to the dipole radiation of the order of $\ddot{\mathbf{d}}^2 \propto (V/c)^8$, while $\ddot{\mathbf{L}}^2 \propto (V/c)^{10}$. These contributions are much smaller than the quadrupole emission which is proportional to $(V/c)^6$.

As a result, the quadrupole "radiation" gives the dominant contribution to the energy loss. The quadrupole term in Eq. (123) coincides with the Einstein's formula obtained in general relativity. As a consequence, the present theory yields the same orbital decay of binary stars from gravitational radiation as general relativity.

The energy flux at infinity carried out by gravitational radiation is balanced by an equal loss of mechanical or orbital energy by the system W. This loss of energy results in a decrease in the orbital period T given by Kepler's third law [2]

$$\frac{\dot{T}}{T} = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{\dot{W}}{W}. \tag{128}$$

Such decrease in the orbital period has been measured for several binary systems and agreed with the predictions of general relativity. Thus, it also agrees with the vector theory of gravity.

In other alternative theories of gravity, while the inertial dipole moment may remain uniform, the "gravity wave" dipole moment need not, because the mass that generates gravitational waves depends differently on the internal gravitational binding energy of each body than does the inertial mass [2, 3]. In such theories, the additional form of gravitational radiation damping (dipole radiation) could be significantly stronger for neutron stars than the usual quadrupole damping. Our vector theory of gravity predicts no dipole gravitational radiation because it satisfies the strong equivalence principle at least to the post-Newtonian order.

XII. TESTS OF THE THEORY OF GRAVITY

In this section we compare predictions of the vector theory of gravity with observations. Refs. [2–4] provide a detail procedure of how to compare metric theories of gravity with experimental tests and show viability of the theory. Here we follow this procedure step by step and show that vector gravity passes all available tests.

Post-Newtonian limit. In vector gravity there is a preferred cosmological reference frame in which background vector gravitational field has only time component. This is a reference frame in which the large-scale distribution of matter is isotropic (presumably the rest frame of the cosmic background radiation). As we show in Sec. VII, in such cosmological frame in the post-Newtonian limit, equations of the vector gravity as well as the boundary conditions are equivalent to those in general relativity. Thus, in any frame moving with a non relativistic speed with respect to the cosmological reference frame (such as our solar system) both theories remain equivalent provided we are not going beyond the post-Newtonian approximation. As a consequence, vector gravity yields the same values for the ten PPN parameters as general relativity [2–4].

The post-Newtonian limit is sufficient to describe the gravitational physics of the solar system and the experimental tests one can perform there [2–4]. To some degree, it can also describe the gravity of binary-pulsar systems. Since vector gravity and general relativity are equivalent in the post-Newtonian limit, they both pass every high-precision test in the solar system, where gravitational fields are relatively weak. In those familiar precincts, they correctly predict redshifting, light deflection by a massive body, Shapiro time delay, precession of planetary

orbits, the strict equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass, lack of the preferred-frame and preferred-location effects, etc.

Vector gravity, as well as general relativity, is built on the Einstein equivalence principle which states that matter is coupled in a universal manner to a single tensorial field, the metric. Extension of the Einstein equivalence principle to gravitational experiments is known as the strong equivalence principle which states that local gravitational physics is independent of the position and velocity of the local reference frame. Alternative theories of gravity involving additional fields or fixed background geometry tend to violate the strong equivalence principle [2–4]. However, since vector gravity is equivalent to general relativity in the post-Newtonian limit the vector gravity obeys the strong equivalence principle in this limit.

Gravitational radiation by binary pulsars. There are several tests of gravity beyond the solar system. Gravitational radiation by binary pulsars provides a tool for testing relativistic gravity. In general relativity, the gravitational waves emitted by a slowly-moving system are dominantly quadrupole and there is no monopole or dipole radiation. This is because the field equations of general relativity insist that monopole and dipole moments are the total mass and the total momentum of the system which are constants if the system is isolated [2–4]. There is no reason to expect that a generic alternative theory will predict the suppression of monopole and dipole emission. However, as we show in Sec. XI, for vector gravity there is no monopole and dipole radiation due to the same reason as for general relativity. Moreover, we show that in our theory the gravitational energy loss by binary stars is described by the same formula as in general relativity. Our analysis of Sec. XI is also valid for neutron stars which are relativistic objects. Energy loss by binary pulsars due to emission of gravitational radiation was measured for several systems and served as a quantitative test of Einstein equations for weak time-dependent field. The present theory also passes this test.

One should note that studies carried out in the wake of the discovery of the Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar in 1974 [30] revealed that a number of otherwise respectable alternative theories of gravity predicted the emission of the negative energy [2]. Once the orbital period of the binary pulsar was shown to decrease in response to the emission of gravitational waves (that is total energy of the binary system decreases with time), these theories were ruled out. Since in the present theory the graviton energy is negative, the vector theory of gravity would also predict emission of the negative energy by binary pulsars if we would not postulate that graviton is composed of a fermion-antifermion pair by analogy with the neutrino theory of light.

One should mention that theories with negative graviton energy are somewhat appealing because they provide a natural mechanism of matter generation at the Big Bang without involving additional cosmological fields. In the present theory, as soon as fermion states are filled shortly after the Big Bang the matter generation stops and Universe subsequently evolves according to the usual hot Universe scenario. Since fermion states are filled in the present epoch the binary pulsars orbiting each other can not emit gravitational waves. Instead they absorb primordial gravitons and, as we show in Sec. XI, this yields exactly the same energy loss by the binary systems as predicted by general relativity.

Structure and motion of compact objects. Precise orbital data obtained for binary pulsars permits the direct measurement of the mass of a neutron star and the study of relativistic orbital effects (such as periastron shifts) in systems containing compact objects possessing strong gravitational field. In alternative theories of gravity, strong gravitational field involved in the neutron star can make significant differences in relativistic orbital effects. When dealing with a system such as the binary pulsar one must employ a method for deriving equations of motion for compact objects that involves solving the full relativistic equations for the regions inside and near each body, solving the post-Newtonian equations in the interbody region and matching these solutions [2].

Most alternative theories of gravity possess additional gravitational fields (dynamical or fixed), whose values in the matching region can influence the structure of each body, and, as a consequence, affect its motion. Namely, mass of the compact object may depend on the boundary values of the auxiliary fields leading to modification of the body's motion. Thus, the location and velocity of the body relative to the external gravitational environment can affect its structure and motion. This is known as the preferred location and preferred frame effects. Orbital data obtained for binary pulsars show lack of such effects for compact objects at least in the V^2/c^2 order, where V is the neutron star velocity [2]. Namely, observations show that binary pulsars move the same way as if they were weak-field post-Newtonian bodies.

Present vector theory of gravity contains an auxiliary nondynamical field, the flat Euclidean metric δ_{ik}, which yields a possibility of the preferred frame and preferred location effects. In Appendix I we investigate this question and demonstrate lack of such effects for binary pulsars in the V^2/c^2 order (this might also be valid in higher orders). Namely, we show that equivalent metric produced by a moving neutron star is independent of the external gravitational background and of the star velocity relative to the background. The metric is characterized only by the object's Kepler-measured mass M, and is independent of its internal structure. In the region far from the neutron star in the post-Newtonian limit the metric in our theory is given by the same formula as in general relativity. Thus, the matching procedure described above must yield the same result, whether the body is a neutron star of mass M or a post-Newtonian body of mass M. Therefore, in vector gravity motion of compact objects is described by the same equations as

motion of weak-field stars and coincides with predictions of general relativity in the V^2/c^2 order. Hence, vector gravity passes the binary pulsar test.

Cosmological test. Cosmology provides another important test of gravitational theories. As we show in Sec. IX, for cosmology the present theory gives the same evolution of the Universe as general relativity with cosmological constant and zero spatial curvature. Thus, vector theory of gravity passes the cosmological test and, in particular, provides the same explanation for the cosmic microwave background radiation and the helium abundance as general relativity. Moreover, vector gravity yields, with no free parameters, the value of the cosmological constant $\Omega_\Lambda = 2/3 \approx 0.67$ which agrees with the recent Planck result $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.686 \pm 0.02$ [7]. Thus, vector gravity also passes the "dark energy" test.

XIII. GALACTIC CENTERS AND DARK MATTER PROBLEM

In the present theory, static gravitational field is described by the equivalent exponential metric (31). Metric (31) was also obtained in Refs. [17–19, 21–26]. Exponential metric (31) predicts no black holes, but rather compact objects with no event horizon and very large, but finite, gravitational redshift.

In recent years, the evidence for the existence of an ultra-compact concentration of dark mass at centers of galaxies has become very strong. However, a proof that such objects are black holes rather then compact objects without event horizon is lacking. If the present theory of gravity is correct then the compact supermassive objects at galactic centers can not be composed of baryonic matter. Indeed, mass of a compact (neutron star like) baryonic object in the exponential metric (31) can not exceed about $12\mathrm{M}_\odot$ [28], but the objects at galactic centers possess masses upto a few $10^9\mathrm{M}_\odot$. Hence, those objects must be made of dark matter of non baryonic origin. This fact gives us an opportunity to determine composition of dark matter based on observations of supermassive objects at galactic centers.

In the previous paper [8] we showed that properties of compact objects at galactic centers can be explained quantitatively assuming they are made of dark matter axions and the axion mass is about 0.6 meV. Analysis of Ref. [8] is based on the assumption that static gravitational field is described by the exponential metric (31) rather then by general relativity. A full time-dependent theory of gravity was unnecessary for calculations made in Ref. [8]. The present paper provides such a theory and justifies our previous choice of the exponential metric.

Axions are one of the leading particle candidates for the cold dark matter in the Universe [31]. Interaction of axions with QCD instantons generates the axion mass m and periodic interaction potential [32]

$$V(\varphi) = m^2F^2[1 - \cos(\varphi/F)], \tag{129}$$

where φ is a real scalar axion field and F is the Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking scale. The interaction potential (129) has degenerate minima $V = 0$ at $\varphi = 2\pi nF$, where n is an integer number. As a consequence, axions can form bubbles. Bubble mass is concentrated in a thin surface (interface between two degenerate vacuum states). In the exponential metric the potential energy of a spherical bubble with radius R is given by [8]

$$U(R) = 4\pi\sigma R^2 \exp\left(\frac{M}{R}\right), \tag{130}$$

where σ is the surface energy density and M is the fixed bubble mass. $U(R)$ has a shape of a well. At $R \gg M$ one can omit gravity and $U(R) \simeq 4\pi\sigma R^2$ is just a surface energy (tension) which tends to contract the bubble. At $R \ll M$ gravity effectively produces large repulsive potential which forces the bubble to expand. As a result, the bubble radius $R(t)$ oscillates between two turning points.

In Ref. [8], based on quantitative analysis of available data, we argued that such oscillating axion bubbles, rather then supermassive black holes, could be present at galactic centers. Recent observations of near-infrared and X-ray flares from Sagittarius A*, which is believed to be a $4 \times 10^6\mathrm{M}_\odot$ black hole at the Galactic center, show that the source exhibits about 20-minute periodic variability [33–35]. An oscillating axion bubble can explain such variability. Known value of the bubble mass at the center of our Galaxy and its oscillation period yields the axion mass of about 0.6 meV. Size of the axion bubble at the center of the Milky Way oscillates between $R_{\min} \approx 1R_\odot$ and $R_{\max} \approx 1\mathrm{AU} \approx 210R_\odot$.

Further, as shown in Ref. [8], the axion bubbles with no free parameters (if we fix $m = 0.6$ meV based on Sagittarius A* flare variability) quantitatively explain the upper limit (a few $10^9\mathrm{M}_\odot$) on the supermassive "black hole" mass found in analysis of the measured mass distribution [36]. Also, with no free parameters the bubble scenario explains observed lack of supermassive "black holes" with mass $M \lesssim 10^6\mathrm{M}_\odot$. For such low-mass bubbles the decay time $t \propto M^{9/2}$ becomes much shorter then the age of the Universe and, as a result, such objects are very rare.

One should note that results of Ref. [8] describe bubbles which are already formed and relatively isolated. Thus, Active Galactic Nuclei whose bubbles are currently under formation or strongly interact with the galactic environment should be excluded. A sample of predominantly inactive galaxies for which direct supermassive "black hole" mass measurements have been catalogued shows lack of such objects with $M \lesssim 10^6\mathrm{M}_\odot$ (see Fig. 1 in [37]). On the other hand, both limits on the bubble mass in Active Galactic Nuclei can be somewhat wider. For instance, in such galaxies, fast vaporization of a low-mass bubble could be reduced by the back flow of galactic axions into the bubble which extends its lifetime. Such a scenario leads to observable consequences. Namely, it predicts that at the low-mass end of the "black hole" vs host galaxy bulge mass diagram the "black hole" masses

must be lower than predicted by the relation established using galaxies having predominantly higher-mass "black holes". In addition, at the low-mass end it should not be correlation between the "black hole" mass and bulge luminosity because bubbles loose mass fast on a time scale of the bulge evolution. Thus, a wide range of bubble masses can exist at almost the same bulge luminosity at the low-mass end. Observations support both these predictions [38]. "Black holes" with estimated mass in the range $10^5 - 10^6 M_\odot$ have been found in Active Galactic Nuclei and their masses lie substantially below the scaling relation defined by the massive systems [38–40]. In addition, at the lower end the measured "black hole" masses span a much wider range at fixed bulge luminosity [38].

Observation of the Galactic center with very long-baseline interferometry within the next few years will be capable to test theories of gravitation in the strong field limit. Such an observation will allow us to distinguish between the black hole (predicted by general relativity) and the oscillating axion bubble scenario. A defining characteristic of a black hole is the event horizon. To a distant observer, the event horizon casts a relatively large "shadow" over the background source with an apparent diameter of about $10GM/c^2 \approx 80R_\odot$ due to bending of light. The predicted size of this shadow for Sagittarius A* approaches the resolution of current radio-interferometers. Hence, there exists a realistic expectation of imaging the shadow of a black hole with VLBA within the next few years [41–46]. If the axion bubble, rather then a black hole, is present at the Galactic center, the steady shadow will not be observed. Instead, the shadow will appear and disappear periodically with a period of about 20 min. Discovery of periodic appearance of the shadow from the Galactic center object will also be a strong evidence for the axion nature of dark matter and will lead to an accurate prediction of the axion mass.

One should mention that intrinsic size of Sagittarius A* at a wavelength of 1.3 mm was determined using VLBA [47]. The intrinsic diameter of Sagittarius A* was found to be < 0.3AU$\approx 65R_\odot$ which is less than the expected apparent size of the event horizon of the presumed black hole. Such observation might indicate lack of black holes, in agreement with the present theory.

XIV. SUMMARY

Einstein's general relativity is an elegant theory of gravity which is based on the assumption that space-time geometry is a tensor gravitational field. However, beauty of the theory does not guarantee that theory describes the nature. So far general relativity has passed all available tests of gravity which are essentially weak-field tests. To the best of our knowledge, the vector theory of gravity we are proposing in this paper also passes all available tests as we discuss in Sec. XII.

Our alternative classical theory of gravity is based on the assumption that gravity is a vector field in a fixed background four dimensional Euclidean space which is coupled to matter universally and minimally through the equivalent metric f_{ik} which is a functional of the vector field. We show that present theory is the only possibility that can be obtained from this assumption.

There are several motivations for the vector theory of gravity. It provides an appealing explanation of how the difference between space and time appeared in the originally totally symmetric Euclidean Universe. Namely, the vector gravitational field breaks the symmetry of the four dimensional Euclidean space. Direction of the vector field gives the time coordinate, while perpendicular directions are spatial coordinates.

Vector gravity also suggests a natural mechanism of matter generation at the Big Bang. Namely, vector theory of gravity yields that energy of gravitational waves is negative and, thus, matter can be created at the expense of generation of the negative energy gravitons. This mechanism has an analogy with emission of electromagnetic waves by an electric dipole (or a quadrupole) placed in a dispersionless medium with negative refractive index. In a medium with negative dielectric constant ε and negative magnetic permeability μ the energy density of the electromagnetic field

$$W = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\varepsilon E^2 + \mu H^2)$$

is negative. As a result, photons emitted by an oscillating dipole placed in such medium carry away negative energy yielding exponential growth of the dipole oscillations [48]. Thus, system is unstable with respect to generation of electromagnetic waves and acceleration of electric charges placed in such medium.

For vector gravity the vacuum itself acts as a dispersionless medium with negative refraction (see Appendix G). Such vacuum is unstable with respect to generation of gravitational waves and heating up the Universe. Vector gravity suggests that at the Big Bang the Universe was heated up by this mechanism. The vacuum instability leads to exponential growth of the gravitational field and matter generation. This is the era of cosmological inflation. Thus, vector gravity predicts the inflation stage. In vector gravity there is no need for an additional cosmological field that would supply energy for matter generation. However, such additional field, the inflaton, is a necessary ingredient of cosmological models based on general relativity for which graviton energy is positive [49].

At some point the heating of the Universe came to an end. Thus, it must be a mechanism which stopped the heating process. A need for it motivated us to postulate, by the analogy with the neutrino theory of light, that in vector gravity the graviton is a composite particle formed of a fermion-antifermion pair and graviton emission corresponds to creation of two fermions. Such an assumption explains why heating of the Universe stopped. Since no more than one fermion can occupy the same quantum state the matter generation at the Big Bang has

continued until fermion states were filled and the Universe became extremely hot. Subsequent evolution of the Universe is described by the usual hot Universe theory. The following expansion of the Universe practically did not change the fermion occupation number and fermion states remain filled in the present epoch. These filled states are the gas of primordial gravitons. It serves as a new vacuum state for the evolution of the Universe after the heating stage. As we show in Sec. X, because of its peculiar equation of state, the gas of primordial gravitons does not produce gravitational field and has no effect on expansion rate of the Universe. Thus, it acts as a vacuum.

Since fermion states are filled shortly after the Big Bang, gravitational waves can not be emitted by binary stars. Instead, binary stars orbiting each other are loosing their energy by absorbing primordial gravitons which possesses negative energy. As we show in Sec. XI, the rate of the energy loss by binary system is given by the same formula as obtained in general relativity. Thus, vector gravity also passes the binary pulsars tests of gravitational theory.

For the Universe expansion the present theory gives the same answer as general relativity with cosmological constant and zero spatial curvature. However, zero spatial curvature of the Universe is a solution of our equations, while in general relativity the spatial curvature is a free parameter. Thus, vector theory of gravity does not have the Euclidicity problem, that is why space is almost perfectly Euclidean on large scales.

Moreover, the vector theory of gravity solves the dark energy problem. Namely, the theory yields, with no free parameters, the value of the cosmological constant $\Omega_\Lambda = 2/3 \approx 0.67$ which agrees with the recent Planck result $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.686 \pm 0.02$ [7]. General relativity failed to predict the value of Ω_Λ, but the present vector theory of gravity passes this cosmological test. This result is crucial since it points to the vector nature of gravity rather than a tensor field.

The present theory provides an explanation of the dark energy as the energy of longitudinal (div $\mathbf{h} \neq 0$) gravitational field induced by the Universe expansion (see Fig. 1). Namely, time variation of the spatial scale caused by the Universe expansion produces matter current directed away from an observer. Such current generates vector (spatial) part of the gravitational field (similarly to generation of the vector potential by the electric current in classical electrodynamics) which possesses negative energy and accelerates expansion of the Universe[3].

Since value of the current depends on a reference frame the value of the cosmological constant Λ depends on the time t_0 at which the observer measures Λ. As we show in Sec. IX, the value of Λ is given by $\Lambda = 2\rho/a^3(t_0)$ and the contribution from the cosmological term to the expansion rate of the Universe at time t_0 is twice larger than those of matter in any reference frame. Therefore, according to our theory, Universe will expand forever at a continually decelerating rate, with expansion asymptotically approaching zero. This is what is expected for the flat Universe in absence of exotic forms of energy.



FIG. 1: Explanation of dark energy in vector gravity. Expansion of the Universe generates matter current $\mathbf{j}_m$ directed away from an observer O. Such current induces gravitational field $\mathbf{h} = h_{0\alpha}$ in a similar way as electric current creates vector potential in classical electrodynamics. Spatial averaging of $\mathbf{h}$ over the local (shaded) region yields zero and, therefore, averaged metric is spatially isotropic. However, average energy density associated with $\mathbf{h}$, $W_h = -c^2\dot{\mathbf{h}}^2/32\pi G$, does not vanish after spatial averaging. This energy is the mysterious dark energy. Contrary to matter, it has negative energy density and accelerates expansion of the Universe.

Mathematically, the cosmological terms appears in vector gravity as a result of spatial averaging of the gravitational field equations. Namely, exact spatially inhomogeneous equations yield that in the vicinity of time t_0 and $\mathbf{r} = 0$ the solution for the equivalent metric is

$$f_{ik} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+h_{00} & h_{0x} & h_{0y} & h_{0z} \\ h_{0x} & -1+h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{0y} & 0 & -1+h_{00} & 0 \\ h_{0z} & 0 & 0 & -1+h_{00} \end{pmatrix},$$

where, according to Eq. (93),

$$h_{0\alpha}(t,\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{c}\ddot{h}_{00}(t_0)(t-t_0)x^\alpha. \qquad (131)$$

That is $h_{0\alpha}$ is induced by the Universe expansion (more exactly by the acceleration of expansion $\dot{h}_{00}$). Spatial averaging of $h_{0\alpha}$ in the local region yields zero because $h_{0\alpha}$ is an odd function of x^α. Therefore, the averaged metric f_{ik} is spatially isotropic. However, since $h_{0\alpha}$ enters the evolution equation (89) as divergence its contribution

[3] Universe expansion induces longitudinal gravitational field that is different from graviton which is a transverse field. Since graviton is a composite particle there are constraints imposed by the Pauli exclusion principle on its generation. However, for the longitudinal field there are no such constraints.

does not vanish in the equation after spatial averaging and Eq. (89) yields

$$3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\left\langle h_{00}\right\rangle - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\left\langle \frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right\rangle = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left\langle T^{00}_{\rm now}\right\rangle . \quad (132)$$

The second term in Eq. (132) is the cosmological (dark energy) term which, according to Eq. (131), is equal to $-4\ddot{h}_{00}(t_0)/c^2$. The dark energy term appears because Universe expansion induces $h_{0\alpha}$ which itself affects Universe evolution. The value of the cosmological constant Λ in the effective nonlinear evolution equation (79) is determined by matching this equation with the local evolution of the Universe in the vicinity of the observer's time t_0. As a consequence, the value of Λ depends on the average matter density at time t_0, that is it depends on the observer's reference frame.

According to the vector gravity, the content of the Universe is somewhat different from those predicted by general relativity. The total energy density W of the Universe in the effective cosmological model is given by Eq. (83)

$$W = -\frac{3c^2}{8\pi G}a^2\dot{a}^2 + c^2\Lambda a^2 + \frac{\rho c^2}{a}, \quad (133)$$

which is the energy density in the fixed background Euclidean space. The net energy of the Universe is equal to zero ($W = 0$), and positive energy of matter is compensated by the negative energy of the gravitational field.

Introducing $X = a^2$ one can rewrite Eq. (133) as an equation of energy conservation for a particle in an external potential $U(X)$

$$\frac{3}{32\pi G}\dot{X}^2 + U(X) = \text{const}, \quad (134)$$

where

$$U(X) = -\Lambda X - \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{X}}. \quad (135)$$

The term with Λ in $U(X)$ decreases with increasing X, while the matter term increases. Thus, the cosmological $\Lambda-$term accelerates expansion of the Universe while matter causes deceleration.

The current vector theory is not equivalent to general relativity even in the weak field limit. For example, it predicts different polarization of weak gravitational waves. As we show in Appendix G, equations for weak gravitational field are analogous to Maxwell's equations in a medium with $\varepsilon = \mu = -1$. Thus, vector gravity can be quantized in a way similar to quantization of electromagnetic field in a medium with negative refractive index. Since in our theory the graviton is a composite particle the quantization of the gravitational field should be performed by analogy with the composite photon theory [15]. Based on this analogy we expect that vector gravity is likely to be compatible with quantum theory.

In the post-Newtonian limit the vector gravity gives the same answer as general relativity. As we explain in Sec. VII, the reason for such a coincidence is symmetry of the action $S_{\rm matter}$. Namely, in the post-Newtonian limit the symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$ coincide in both theories. By construction of both theories, the symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$ uniquely determine the whole theory. Thus in the post-Newtonian limit when symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$ coincide the two theories become equivalent.

For strong field vector gravity gives very different result and yields no singularities such as black holes. A defining characteristic of a black hole is the event horizon. So far there were no observations of the event horizon and, thus, a proof of black holes existence is lacking.

The present theory, if confirmed, can also lead to a break through in the problem of dark matter. Namely, the theory predicts that supermassive compact objects at galactic centers have non baryonic origin and, thus, yet undiscovered dark matter particle is a likely ingredient for their composition. As a result, observations of such objects can allow us to predict the nature of dark matter. In the previous paper [8] we showed that properties of compact objects at galactic centers can be explained quantitatively assuming they are made of dark matter axions and the axion mass is about 0.6 meV. Analysis of Ref. [8] was based on the exponential metric (31) for the static gravitational field rather than general relativity. The present theory of gravity justifies our previous use of the exponential metric.

The vector theory of gravity can be tested in several ways. For example, one can examine gravity beyond the post-Newtonian limit in the solar system by improving the accuracy of Shapiro time delay experiment (time delay of a radar signal traveling near the Sun), or improving precision of the light deflection measurements by placing an optical interferometer with microarcsecond resolution into Earth orbit [50]. Vector gravity differs from general relativity in the post-post-Newtonian regime which has not been experimentally investigated to date. One can also test the vector theory of gravity by measuring polarization of gravitational waves which differs from those in general relativity. Another possibility is to resolve the supermassive object at the center of our Galaxy with VLBA. If general relativity is correct we must see a steady shadow from a black hole. If the present theory is right then shadow might appear and disappear periodically with a period of about 20 min as we predicted in [8]. Observation of such oscillations will also provide evidence for dark matter axion with mass in meV range.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge support of the National Science Foundation Grant EEC-0540832 (MIRTHE ERC) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Award A-1261).

Appendix A: Derivation of the equivalent metric

Metric tensor determines the line element for infinitesimal coordinate displacement dx^k

$$ds^2 = f_{ik}dx^i dx^k.$$

Let us consider vector field A_k. The most general form of the equivalent metric f_{ik} which can be constructed from the background Euclidean metric δ_{ik} =diag$(1,1,1,1)$ and A_k is

$$f_{ik} = -F\delta_{ik} + (F+G)\frac{A_i A_k}{A^2}, \tag{A1}$$

where F and G are scalar functions of

$$A = \sqrt{A_i A_k \delta^{ik}}.$$

If we chose x^0 axis along the direction of A_k then $A_k = (A,0,0,0)$ and the equivalent metric is diagonal

$$f_{ik} = \text{diag}(G,-F,-F,-F). \tag{A2}$$

To find a relation between F and G one can consider a particular case of gravitational field which has two nonzero components A_0 and A_x and apply Einstein equivalence principle. Let us assume that a test particle moves along the $x-$axis under the influence of such field. Particle velocity is a function of time $V = V(t)$ and the line element for the particle in the gravitational field reads

$$ds^2 = f_{00}c^2dt^2 + 2f_{0x}cdtdx + f_{xx}dx^2. \tag{A3}$$

The same motion is obtained if the particle is at rest in Minkowski space-time, but the reference frame moves with velocity $V(t)$. Making a change of coordinate $x \to x + \int^t V(t')dt'$ in the Minkowski line element

$$ds^2 = c^2dt^2 - dx^2$$

we obtain that the interval in the moving frame is

$$ds^2 = dt^2\left(c^2 - V^2\right) - 2Vdtdx - dx^2. \tag{A4}$$

According to the Einstein equivalence principal, the interval (A4) must be equal to (A3) which yields three equations

$$f_{00} = 1 - V^2/c^2, \quad f_{0x} = -V/c, \quad f_{xx} = -1. \tag{A5}$$

Taking into account Eq. (A1) and $A_0^2 + A_x^2 = A^2$, Eqs. (A5) give

$$F = \sqrt{\frac{V^4}{4c^4}+1} + \frac{V^2}{2c^2}, \quad G = \sqrt{\frac{V^4}{4c^4}+1} - \frac{V^2}{2c^2},$$

that is

$$GF = 1. \tag{A6}$$

Equation (A6) fixes the relation between G and F for arbitrary A.

One should note that normalization of A_k is not unique. Namely, A_k can be multiplied by an arbitrary scalar function of A which yields another vector field. Our theory is independent of the field normalization and Eq. (A6) is the only constraint on the equivalent metric we have. For example, one can choose norm of A_k such that

$$G = A. \tag{A7}$$

Then equivalent metric f_{ik} is given by

$$f_{ik} = -\frac{\delta_{ik}}{A} + \left(A + \frac{1}{A}\right)\frac{A_i A_k}{A^2}. \tag{A8}$$

Metric $\tilde{f}^{ik}$ inverse to f_{ik}, defined as $\tilde{f}^{ik}f_{im} = \delta^k_m$, reads

$$\tilde{f}^{ik} = -A\delta^{ik} + \left(A + \frac{1}{A}\right)\frac{A^i A^k}{A^2}, \tag{A9}$$

where $A^i = \delta^{ik}A_k$ and the following relationships are satisfied

$$A_i A_m \tilde{f}^{im} = A, \quad A_k\tilde{f}^{ik} = \frac{A^i}{A}, \quad \sqrt{-f} = \frac{1}{A}, \tag{A10}$$

where f =det(f_{ik}). In Cartesian coordinate system if we chose x^0 axis along the direction of A_k the equivalent metric reads

$$f_{ik} = \text{diag}\left(A, -\frac{1}{A}, -\frac{1}{A}, -\frac{1}{A}\right). \tag{A11}$$

Appendix B: Derivation of gravitational field action

1. Weak field limit

First we find gravitational field action for small deviation of the gravitational field from a constant value $\phi = \phi_0$ and $u_k = (1,0,0,0)$. For small deviation the equivalent metric is

$$f_{ik} = \eta_{ik} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} \\ h_{01} & h_{00} & 0 & 0 \\ h_{02} & 0 & h_{00} & 0 \\ h_{03} & 0 & 0 & h_{00} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B1}$$

where $|h_{0k}| \ll 1$. One can obtain the weak field action for the gravitational field from the requirement that the action must be invariant under the gauge transformation (24)

$$h_{00} \to h_{00} + 2\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^0}, \quad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

upto the V^2/c^2 order. One can look for the weak-field action as a combination of gauge invariant terms. Introducing

$$B_0 = \frac{h_{00}}{2}, \quad B_\alpha = h_{0\alpha}$$

and a guage-invariant combination

$$F_{ik} = \frac{\partial B_k}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x^k}$$

the general form of the action which is gauge invariant upto the V^2/c^2 order is

$$S_{\rm gravity} = C\int d^4x \left[F^{ik}F_{ik} + C_1\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$\left. + C_2\left(\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\right], \qquad \text{(B2)}$$

where terms with C_1 and C_2 break gauge invariance in the V^3/c^3 order. One can find coefficients C_1 and C_2 from the requirement of the low-velocity Lorentz invariance. Straightforward calculations yield that action (B2)

$$S_{\rm gravity} = C\int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{C_2}{2}\right)\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right.$$

$$-2\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0} + 2\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta} - 2\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\left. + (2+C_2)\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha} + C_1\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right] \qquad \text{(B3)}$$

is invariant under transformations (26) and (27) provided

$$C_1 = -6, \quad C_2 = 6.$$

Indeed, under transformation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\nabla, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\mathbf{V}}{2c^2}\left(\mathbf{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right) \qquad \text{(B4)}$$

$$h_{00} \to h_{00}\left(1+\frac{2V^2}{c^2}\right) - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{0\alpha}, \quad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{00}, \qquad \text{(B5)}$$

the action (B3) transforms as (we keep terms upto V^3/c^3 order)

$$S_{\rm gravity} \to S_{\rm gravity} + C\int d^4x \left[-(C_2-6)\frac{V^2}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)^2\right.$$

$$-\left(2C_1+\frac{3}{2}C_2+3\right)\frac{V^\alpha}{c}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} + (C_2-6)\frac{V^\beta}{c}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\left. + (6-C_2)\frac{V^\beta}{c}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta} + \left[C_1+\frac{7}{4}C_2-\frac{9}{2}\right]\left(\frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\nabla h_{00}\right)^2\right].$$

Thus if $C_1 = -6$ and $C_2 = 6$ the action is invariant.

The overall constant factor C in Eq. (B3) is obtained by matching the action (B3) with the Newtonian limit. The factor C must be independent of the background field ϕ_0 because such independence is one of the symmetries of $S_{\rm matter}$. The final expression for the action of weak gravitational field reads

$$S_{\rm gravity} = \frac{c^3}{32\pi G}\int d^4x \left(-\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0} + \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha} + 4\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha} - 3\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right), \qquad \text{(B6)}$$

where G is the gravitational constant.

2. Arbitrary gravitational field

The most general form of the gravitational field action that can be constructed from the scalar ϕ and the unit vector u_k in four dimensional Euclidean space δ_{ik} is

$$S_{\rm gravity} = \frac{c^3}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\left(F_1(\phi)\delta^{ik} + F_2(\phi)u^iu^k\right)\right.$$

$$+\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^l}\left(F_3(\phi)\delta^{im}u^ku^l + F_4(\phi)\delta^{im}\delta^{kl} + F_5(\phi)\delta^{il}\delta^{km}\right)$$

$$\left. + F_6(\phi)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^k}\delta^{im}u^k + F_7(\phi)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^i}\delta^{im}u^k\right]. \qquad \text{(B7)}$$

The unknown functions $F_1, \ldots, F_5$ can be obtained by matching the action with the weak field limit. For small deviations of ϕ from a constant ϕ_0 and u_k from $(1,0,0,0)$ the equivalent metric reads

$$f_{ik} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\phi_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-2\phi_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -e^{-2\phi_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-2\phi_0} \end{pmatrix} + 2\cosh(2\phi_0)\times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\delta\phi \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\phi_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\phi_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-2\phi_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-2\phi_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

while action (B7) reduces to

$$S_{\rm gravity} = \frac{c^3}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \left[(F_1+F_2)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$+F_1\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}+(F_3+F_4)\,\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}$$

$$+F_4\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}+F_5\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial u_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}+(F_6+F_7)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}\Bigg], \tag{B8}$$

where F_1, ..., F_7 are taken at $\phi=\phi_0$. In the rescaled coordinates

$$x^0\to e^{-\phi_0}x^0,\qquad x^\alpha\to e^{\phi_0}x^\alpha$$

the action (B8) reads

$$S_{\rm gravity}=\frac{c^3}{64\pi G}\int d^4x\left[(F_1+F_2)\,e^{4\phi_0}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$+F_1\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}+(F_3+F_4)\,e^{4\phi_0}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}$$

$$\left.+F_4\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}+F_5\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial u_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}+(F_6+F_7)e^{2\phi_0}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x^0}\right] \tag{B9}$$

and the equivalent metric is

$$f_{ik}=\eta_{ik}+2\delta\phi\delta_{ik}+2\cosh(2\phi_0)\begin{pmatrix}0&u_1&u_2&u_3\\u_1&0&0&0\\u_2&0&0&0\\u_3&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus in Eq. (B1)

$$h_{00}=2\delta\phi,\quad h_{0\alpha}=2\cosh(2\phi_0)u_\alpha.$$

In terms of h_{00} and $h_{0\alpha}$ the action (B9) reads

$$S_{\rm gravity}=\frac{c^3}{64\pi G}\int d^4x\left[\frac{F_1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}+\right.$$

$$\frac{(F_3+F_4)\,e^{4\phi_0}}{4\cosh^2(2\phi_0)}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}+\frac{F_4}{4\cosh^2(2\phi_0)}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}$$

$$+\frac{F_5}{4\cosh^2(2\phi_0)}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}+\frac{(F_6+F_7)e^{2\phi_0}}{4\cosh(2\phi_0)}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{4}\,(F_1+F_2)\,e^{4\phi_0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right].$$

Matching this with the weak field limit action (B6) we obtain

$$F_1=-8,\quad F_2=8-24e^{-4\phi},\quad F_3=-16e^{-2\phi}\cosh^3(2\phi), \tag{B10}$$

$$F_4=8\cosh^2(2\phi),\quad F_5=-8\cosh^2(2\phi), \tag{B11}$$

$$F_6+F_7=32e^{-2\phi}\cosh(2\phi). \tag{B12}$$

The functions $F_6(\phi)$ and $F_7(\phi)$ yet remain undetermined, only their sum. In order to find these functions we need to investigate symmetries of the action in the higher order in the post-Newtonian expansion parameter ϵ. The "order of smallness" is determined according to the rules that matter velocity is of order $V\sim\epsilon^{1/2}$ and gravitational constant $G\sim\epsilon$. Making change of functions

$$h_{0\alpha}=2\cosh(2\phi)u_\alpha, \tag{B13}$$

$$e^{2\Phi}=e^{2\phi}-2\cosh(2\phi)u_\alpha^2,$$

or

$$\Phi\approx\phi-\frac{h_{0\alpha}^2}{2\,(1+e^{4\phi})} \tag{B14}$$

and taking into account that $h_{0\alpha}\sim\epsilon^{3/2}$ and $dx^\alpha/dx^0\sim\epsilon^{1/2}$ we obtain the following expression for the square of the interval upto the terms of the ϵ^3 order

$$ds^2=e^{2\Phi}(dx^0)^2+2h_{0\alpha}dx^0dx^\alpha-e^{-2\Phi}(d\mathbf{r})^2. \tag{B15}$$

Interval (B15), and hence $S_{\rm matter}$, is invariant under transformation

$$x^0\to e^{-a}x^0,\qquad x^\alpha\to e^a x^\alpha, \tag{B16}$$

$$\Phi\to\Phi+a,\qquad h_{0\alpha}\to h_{0\alpha}, \tag{B17}$$

where a is an arbitrary constant. Therefore, action for the gravitational field $S_{\rm gravity}$ must also possess such symmetry in the ϵ^3 order. Taking into account Eqs. (B10)-(B12) and making change of functions (B13) and (B14) we obtain that $S_{\rm gravity}$ upto the terms of the ϵ^3 order reads

$$S_{\rm gravity}=\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\left[-\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}-3e^{-4\Phi}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^0}+\right.$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\right)^2-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}+2e^{-2\Phi}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}+$$

$$h_{0\beta}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}-h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\beta}-2e^{-2\Phi}h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^0}-$$

$$\left.\frac{e^{-4\Phi}}{4}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}+\frac{F_7(\Phi)h_{0\beta}}{2\cosh^2(2\Phi)}\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha}-\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\right)\right]. \tag{B18}$$

Please note that there is a factor $1/G$ in front of the integral and, hence, expression under the integral must be calculated upto the ϵ^4 order. Action (B18) is invariant under transformation (B16) and (B17) provided

$$F_7(\Phi)=2F\cosh^2(2\Phi),$$

where F is a constant independent of Φ. To find F we ought to dig symmetries deeper.

Let us consider stationary gravitational field for which equivalent metric is independent of time and make the following gauge transformation

$$h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} + e^{2\Phi}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}, \tag{B19}$$

where $\psi \sim \epsilon^{3/2}$ is a function of spatial coordinates. Taking into account that

$$\delta S_{\rm matter} = -\frac{1}{2c}\int d^4x\sqrt{-f}T^{ik}\delta f_{ik},$$

where T^{ik} is the energy-momentum tensor of matter, we obtain that under the gauge transformation (B19) the action $S_{\rm matter}$ transforms as

$$S_{\rm matter} \to S_{\rm matter} - \frac{1}{c}\int d^4x\sqrt{-f}T^{0\alpha}e^{2\Phi}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

Using $\sqrt{-f} = e^{-2\Phi}$ and integrating by parts we find

$$S_{\rm matter} \to S_{\rm matter} + \frac{1}{c}\int d^4x\frac{\partial T^{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha}\psi. \tag{B20}$$

Conservation equation $T^k_{i;k} = 0$ yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\left(\sqrt{-f}T^k_i\right) = \frac{\sqrt{-f}}{2}T^{kl}\frac{\partial f_{kl}}{\partial x^i}$$

which for stationary field reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\sqrt{-f}T^\alpha_0\right) = 0.$$

Keeping in mind that $T^\alpha_0 = f_{00}T^{0\alpha} + f_{0\beta}T^{\beta\alpha}$ and $f_{00} = e^{2\Phi}$ we find

$$\frac{\partial T^{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left[e^{-2\Phi}h_{0\beta}T^{\beta\alpha}\right] \sim \epsilon^{5/2}. \tag{B21}$$

Therefore, the last term in Eq. (B20) is of the order of ϵ^4 and, thus, action $S_{\rm matter}$ is invariant under gauge transformation (B19) in the ϵ^3 order for stationary field. Now we apply gauge transformation (B19) to the action (B18). Keeping terms upto the ϵ^3 order we obtain that for stationary field $S_{\rm gravity}$ transforms as

$$S_{\rm gravity} \to S_{\rm gravity} + F\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int d^4x e^{2\Phi}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\beta}\left[h_{0\beta}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^\alpha}\right.$$

$$-h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^\beta\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\left.+e^{2\Phi}\left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^\beta\partial x^\alpha}\right)\right].$$

Hence, $S_{\rm gravity}$ is gauge invariant provided $F = 0$, that is $F_7(\phi) = 0$ and, according to Eq. (B12),

$$F_6 = 32e^{-2\phi}\cosh(2\phi). \tag{B22}$$

Now all functions in the action (B7) are uniquely determined.

The final expression for the gravitational field action in Euclidean space is

$$S_{\rm gravity} = \frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\left[\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}\left(-\delta^{ik} + \left(1 - 3e^{-4\phi}\right)u^iu^k\right)\right.$$

$$+\cosh^2(2\phi)\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^l}\Big(\delta^{im}\delta^{kl} - \delta^{il}\delta^{km} -$$

$$\left.\left(1 + e^{-4\phi}\right)\delta^{im}u^ku^l\Big) + 2\left(1 + e^{-4\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^k}\delta^{im}u^k\right].$$

Appendix C: Equations for gravitational field

Here we sketch how to derive equations for the gravitational field. The Lagrangian density has two parts

$$L = L_{\rm g} + L_{\rm matter},$$

where $L_{\rm matter}$ depends on the gravitational field via the equivalent metric f_{ik}, while $L_{\rm g}$ depends on the field explicitly. We treat ϕ and u_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) as independent functions. Then $u_0^2 = 1 - u_1^2 - u_2^2 - u_3^2$. Equations for the gravitational field are obtained by taking variation of the action with respect to ϕ and u_α

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial\phi} + W^{ik}\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial\phi} = 0, \tag{C1}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_\alpha} - \frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_0}\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0} + W^{ik}\left(\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial u_\alpha} - \frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial u_0}\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0}\right) = 0, \tag{C2}$$

where

$$W^{ik} = \frac{\partial L_{\rm matter}}{\partial f_{ik}}$$

and we used

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial u_\alpha} = -\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0}.$$

Variational derivatives of $L_{\rm g}$ in Eqs. (C1) and (C2) deal with derivatives of functions in $L_{\rm g}$ in a usual way. Taking into account that [20]

$$\delta S_{\rm matter} = -\frac{1}{2c}\int d^4x\sqrt{-f}T^{ik}\delta f_{ik}$$

we obtain

$$W^{ik} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-f}T^{ik}.$$

Using

$$f_{ik} = -e^{-2\phi}\delta_{ik} + 2\cosh(2\phi)u_i u_k,$$

we find

$$\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial \phi} = 2e^{-2\phi}\delta_{ik} + 4\sinh(2\phi)u_i u_k = -2f_{ik} + 4e^{2\phi}u_i u_k,$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial u_m} = 2\cosh(2\phi)\left(\delta_i^m u_k + \delta_k^m u_i\right).$$

Plugging this in Eqs. (C1) and (C2) yields

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial \phi} - 2W + 4e^{2\phi}W^{ik}u_i u_k = 0, \tag{C3}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_\alpha} - \frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_0}\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0} + 4\cosh(2\phi)\left(W^{\alpha k}u_k - W^{0k}u_k\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0}\right) = 0, \tag{C4}$$

where

$$W = W^{ik}f_{ik}.$$

Equations (C3) and (C4) can be written in the form

$$\left[W^{ik} - F\tilde{f}^{ik}\right]u_k - B^i = 0, \tag{C5}$$

where F is a scalar and B^i is a vector which we find next. Equation (C5) gives

$$W^{ik}u_k = Fe^{-2\phi}u^i + B^i, \tag{C6}$$

$$W^{ik}u_i u_k = Fe^{-2\phi} + B^i u_i, \tag{C7}$$

where we used

$$\tilde{f}^{ik}u_k = e^{-2\phi}u^i,$$

$$\tilde{f}^{ik}u_i u_k = e^{-2\phi}.$$

Substitution of Eqs. (C6) and (C7) in Eqs. (C3) and (C4) yields

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial \phi} - 2W + 4e^{2\phi}\left(Fe^{-2\phi} + B^i u_i\right) = 0, \tag{C8}$$

$$\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_\alpha} + 4\cosh(2\phi)B^\alpha - \left(\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_0} + 4\cosh(2\phi)B^0\right)\frac{u^\alpha}{u_0} = 0. \tag{C9}$$

Equation (C9) gives

$$B^i = -\frac{1}{4\cosh(2\phi)}\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_i}. \tag{C10}$$

Substituting this into Eq. (C8) we obtain

$$F = \frac{W}{2} + \frac{e^{2\phi}}{4\cosh(2\phi)}\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_m}u_m - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial \phi}. \tag{C11}$$

Equations (C10) and (C11) determine B^i and F in Eq. (C5). Substituting them in Eq. (C5) we can write equations for the gravitational field as

$$2e^{2\phi}\left(W^{ik} - \frac{W}{2}\tilde{f}^{ik}\right)u_k + \frac{1}{1+e^{-4\phi}}\left[\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_i} - \frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_m}u_m u^i\right]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial \phi}u^i = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{1+e^{-4\phi}}\left[\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_m}u_m u^i - \frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial u_i}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L_{\rm g}}{\partial \phi}u^i$$

$$= -\left(T^{ik} - \frac{T}{2}\tilde{f}^{ik}\right)u_k, \tag{C12}$$

where T^{ik} is the energy-momentum tensor of matter and $T = T^{ik}f_{ik}$.

What is left is to calculate variational derivatives of $L_{\rm g}$ and substitute them into Eqs. (C12). Straightforward but lengthy algebra yields Eqs. (29).

Appendix D: Motion of particles in gravitational field

Here we obtain how a test particle with rest mass m moves in an external gravitational field f_{ik}. Interaction of the particle with the field is described by the action

$$S_{\rm matter} = -mc\int\sqrt{f_{ik}dx^i dx^k}, \tag{D1}$$

where the integral is taken along the particle trajectory. One can find equation of particle motion varying the action (D1) at fixed f_{ik} [20]

$$\delta S_{\rm matter} =$$

$$-\frac{mc}{2}\int\left[\frac{dx^i}{ds}dx^k\delta f_{ik} + f_{ik}\left(\frac{dx^k}{ds}d\delta x^i + \frac{dx^i}{ds}d\delta x^k\right)\right],$$

where

$$ds = \sqrt{f_{ik}dx^i dx^k}. \tag{D2}$$

Next we take into account $\delta f_{ik} = \left(\partial f_{ik}/\partial x^l\right)\delta x^l$ and integrate the second term by parts

$$\delta S_{\rm matter} =$$

$$-\frac{mc}{2}\int\left[\left(\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial f_{lk}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_{il}}{\partial x^k}\right)\frac{dx^i}{ds}\frac{dx^k}{ds} - 2f_{lk}\frac{d^2x^k}{ds^2}\right]ds\delta x^l.$$

Principle of least action $\delta S_{\rm matter} = 0$ yields the following equation

$$f_{lk}\frac{d^2x^k}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial f_{lk}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_{il}}{\partial x^k}\right]\frac{dx^i}{ds}\frac{dx^k}{ds}. \tag{D3}$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (D3) by tensor inverse to f_{lk} we find

$$\frac{d^2x^b}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}^{bl}\left[\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial f_{lk}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_{il}}{\partial x^k}\right]\frac{dx^i}{ds}\frac{dx^k}{ds}. \quad \text{(D4)}$$

This is equation of motion of a particle in gravitational field f_{ik}.

From Eq. (D1) we obtain the following Lagrangian of the particle

$$L = -mc\sqrt{f_{ik}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^k}{dt}}. \quad \text{(D5)}$$

Action (D1) and Eq. (D4) are invalid for massless particles. Let us consider a massless scalar field χ. In the gravitational field f_{ik} the action for χ reads

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-f}\tilde{f}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\chi^*}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial x^\nu}. \quad \text{(D6)}$$

Variation of Eq. (D6) yields the following equation of motion for the field χ

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\left(\sqrt{-f}\tilde{f}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial x^\nu}\right) = 0. \quad \text{(D7)}$$

For geometrical optics one can write χ as $\chi = |\chi|e^{i\psi}$, where ψ (eikonal) has a large value. Substituting this into Eq. (D7) and keeping only the leading term we obtain eikonal equation in gravitational field

$$\tilde{f}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\nu} = 0. \quad \text{(D8)}$$

Appendix E: Motion of particles in static gravitational field

Here we consider motion of a particle with rest mass m in static gravitational field $\phi(\mathbf{r})$. Equation of particle motion in general case is obtained in Appendix D. Equation (D4) for static field (31) reduces to

$$\frac{d(e^{2\phi}\gamma)}{dt} = 0, \quad \text{(E1)}$$

$$\frac{d\left(\gamma e^{-2\phi}\mathbf{V}\right)}{dt} = -\gamma c^2\left[e^{2\phi} + \frac{V^2}{c^2}e^{-2\phi}\right]\nabla\phi, \quad \text{(E2)}$$

where $\nabla\phi = \partial\phi/\partial\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{r} = x^\alpha$, $\mathbf{V} = \partial\mathbf{r}/\partial t$ is the particle velocity and

$$\gamma = \frac{e^{-\phi}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{c^2}e^{-4\phi}}}. \quad \text{(E3)}$$

One can also find equation of particle motion (E2) directly from Lagrange's equation $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\mathbf{r}}$, where the Lagrangian (D5) for static gravitational field reads

$$L = -mc^2\sqrt{e^{2\phi} - \frac{V^2}{c^2}e^{-2\phi}}. \quad \text{(E4)}$$

Equation (E1) follows from Eq. (E2) if we multiply both sides of Eq. (E2) by $\gamma e^{-2\phi}\mathbf{V}$ and make simple algebraic transformations.

Lagrangian (E4) gives the following expression for the particle generalized momentum $\mathbf{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\mathbf{V}}$

$$\mathbf{p} = \gamma e^{-2\phi}m\mathbf{V}, \quad \text{(E5)}$$

and particle Hamiltonian $H = \mathbf{V}\frac{\partial L}{\partial\mathbf{V}} - L$

$$H = e^{2\phi}\gamma mc^2 = \sqrt{m^2c^4e^{2\phi} + p^2c^2e^{4\phi}}. \quad \text{(E6)}$$

Thus, Eq. (E1) is the equation of energy conservation W =const, where

$$W = e^{2\phi}\gamma mc^2 = \frac{e^{\phi}mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{c^2}e^{-4\phi}}} \quad \text{(E7)}$$

is the particle energy and Eq. (E2) is the equation for momentum.

For a massless particle one should use Eq. (D7) which for a static field reads

$$e^{-4\phi}\frac{\partial^2\chi}{\partial t^2} - c^2\Delta\chi = 0. \quad \text{(E8)}$$

Equation (E8) describes propagation of a massless particle with speed

$$v = ce^{2\phi}. \quad \text{(E9)}$$

One can see that speed of light depends on the gravitational field ϕ and $v \le c$ if ϕ is given by Eq. (36) with positive masses. By proper rescaling of coordinates in Eq. (E8) one can remove the factor $e^{-4\phi}$ at any given point. Let us fix $\phi = 0$ at infinite distance from masses. If an observer at infinity sends a light signal towards the Sun then near the solar surface $\phi < 0$ and light will propagate with a smaller speed. This is the explanation of Shapiro time delay in the present theory of gravity. Light signal traveling the same distance arrives with a delay if the light trajectory passes near the Sun. The delay occurs because the speed of light is smaller near the solar surface.

Since Eq. (E8) does not contain t explicitly the photon frequency ω_0 (measured in time t) remains the same during light propagation. However, physical processes occur with different rates at different ϕ. Gravitational field (31) can be removed at a given point by rescaling time in the factor $\sqrt{f_{00}} = e^{\phi}$ ($t = \tau/e^{\phi}$) and spatial coordinates by $\sqrt{-f_{\alpha\alpha}} = e^{-\phi}$. In such rescaled coordinates identical atoms emit light with equal frequencies $\omega \propto \partial\chi/\partial\tau = e^{-\phi}\partial\chi/\partial t$. Thus we obtain

$$\omega = \omega_0 e^{-\phi}, \quad \text{(E10)}$$

where ω_0 is the photon frequency measured in time t.

Equation (E10) shows that if light emitted by an atom propagates into a region with larger gravitational potential then the detected light frequency is smaller then

those an identical atom emits at the detection point. This phenomenon is known as gravitational redshift of light. Equation (E10) also shows that in our theory there are no black holes. Indeed for the gravitational field created by a point mass M: $\phi = -GM/c^2 r$. Therefore if a photon is emitted at a distance r from the mass M with frequency ω then an observer at infinity will detect the photon with the energy

$$\hbar\omega_0 = \hbar\omega e^{-GM/c^2 r}. \tag{E11}$$

According to Eq. (E11) no matter how close the photon is emitted to the mass M the photon's energy at infinity never becomes zero. This means that photon can escape from the mass M from any distance. Such a conclusion is dramatically different from prediction of general relativity. In Einstein's theory photons become trapped by the mass M if they are emitted from a distance smaller then the event horizon (that is point mass M behaves as a black hole).

Appendix F: Equations for metric in post-Newtonian limit

Here we show that Einstein equations

$$R_{ik} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}T\right) \tag{F1}$$

and equations of the vector theory of gravity (29) are the same in the post-Newtonian limit. In such limit, components of the Ricci tensor are

$$R_{00} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\left(\frac{\partial h_0^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_\alpha^\alpha}{\partial x^0}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla h_{00}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\beta}\left(2\frac{\partial h_\beta^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial h_\alpha^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\right), \tag{F2}$$

$$R_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_\alpha^\beta}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_0^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_\beta^\beta}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{0\alpha}, \tag{F3}$$

where $h_\alpha^\beta = \eta^{\beta\gamma}h_{\gamma\alpha}$. Taking into account Eq. (39) we obtain

$$R_{00} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} + \frac{1}{2}h_{00}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla h_{00}\right)^2,$$

$$R_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{0\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\alpha}.$$

As a result, Einstein equations in the post-Newtonian limit read

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} + \frac{1}{2}h_{00}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla h_{00}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{00} - \frac{1}{2}g_{00}T\right), \tag{F4}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{0\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{0\alpha}. \tag{F5}$$

On the other hand in the cosmological reference frame for small deviations of ϕ from a constant value ϕ_0 ($\delta\phi = \phi - \phi_0$) and $|u_\alpha| \ll 1$, keeping post-Newtonian terms, and taking into account that

$$T^{00} = \tilde{f}^{00}\tilde{f}^{00}T_{00} = e^{-4\phi}T_{00}, \quad \tilde{f}^{00} = e^{-4\phi}f_{00},$$

$$T^{\alpha 0} = -T_{\alpha 0},$$

Eqs. (29) of the vector theory of gravity yield

$$\Delta\phi + 3e^{-4\phi_0}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - 2e^{-2\phi_0}\cosh(2\phi_0)\frac{\partial^2 u^\beta}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^0}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}e^{-4\phi}\left(T_{00} - \frac{T}{2}f_{00}\right), \tag{F6}$$

$$e^{2\phi_0}\cosh(2\phi_0)\left(\frac{\partial^2 u^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x^\beta} - \Delta u^\alpha\right) - 2\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^0} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\alpha 0}. \tag{F7}$$

Next we rescale coordinates as

$$x^0 \to e^{-\phi_0}x^0, \quad x^\alpha \to e^{\phi_0}x^\alpha.$$

In new coordinates the equivalent metric f_{ik} has the form of Eq. (40) with

$$h_{00} = 2\delta\phi + 2(\delta\phi)^2, \quad h_{0\alpha} = 2\cosh(2\phi_0)u_\alpha,$$

$$\delta\phi = \frac{h_{00}}{2} - \frac{h_{00}^2}{4}.$$

In the rescaled coordinates Eqs. (F6) and (F7) reduce to

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta h_{00}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^0}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}e^{4(\phi_0-\phi)}\left(T_{00} - \frac{T}{2}f_{00}\right), \tag{F8}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{0\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^0} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\alpha 0}. \tag{F9}$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (F8) by $e^{-4(\phi_0-\phi)} = e^{2h_{00}}$ and expanding the exponential factor we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + h_{00}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta h_{00}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^0}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{00} - \frac{T}{2}f_{00}\right).$$

Using

$$\Delta h_{00}^2 = 2(\nabla h_{00})^2 + 2h_{00}\Delta h_{00}$$

we finally find

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta h_{00} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0} - \frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^0} + \frac{1}{2}h_{00}\Delta h_{00} - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla h_{00})^2$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left(T_{00} - \frac{T}{2}f_{00}\right). \qquad \text{(F10)}$$

Equations (F10) and (F9) of the vector theory of gravity are identical to the Einstein equations (F4) and (F5). Boundary conditions are also the same. Thus, in the post-Newtonian limit both theories are equivalent.

Appendix G: Analogy of weak gravity with electromagnetism in medium with negative refractive index

In a medium with dielectric constant ε and magnetic permeability μ Maxwell equations describing electromagnetic field read

$$\text{curl}\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \qquad \text{(G1)}$$

$$\text{div}(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}) = 4\pi\rho_e, \qquad \text{(G2)}$$

$$\text{curl}\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu}\right) = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial(\varepsilon \mathbf{E})}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi}{c}\rho_e \mathbf{V}, \qquad \text{(G3)}$$

where ρ_e is the electric charge density. In terms of the vector $\mathbf{A}$ and scalar φ potentials

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial x^0}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \text{curl}(\mathbf{A}),$$

the Maxwell equations (G1)-(G3) are

$$\Delta\varphi + \frac{\partial^2 A^\beta}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} = -\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon}\rho_e,$$

$$\left(\Delta - \varepsilon\mu\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\mathbf{A} - \nabla\left(\varepsilon\mu\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial A^\beta}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = -\frac{4\pi\mu}{c}\rho_e \mathbf{V}.$$

In the Lorenz gauge

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial A^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{(G4)}$$

and for $\varepsilon = \mu = -1$ equations reduce to

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\varphi = 4\pi\rho_e, \qquad \text{(G5)}$$

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\mathbf{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\rho_e \mathbf{V}. \qquad \text{(G6)}$$

On the other hand, equations for the weak gravitational field and non relativistic motion of matter with density ρ_m and velocity $\mathbf{V}$ are [see Eqs. (48) and (49)]

$$\left(\Delta + 3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)h_{00} - 2\frac{\partial^2 h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\rho_\text{m}, \qquad \text{(G7)}$$

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\mathbf{h} + 2\nabla\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^3}\rho_\text{m}\mathbf{V}, \qquad \text{(G8)}$$

where $\mathbf{h} = h_{0\alpha}$, $\mathbf{V} = V^\alpha$ and $\nabla = \partial/\partial x^\alpha$. Introducing

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \frac{c^2}{2}h_{00}, \quad \tilde{A}^\alpha = -\frac{c^2}{4}h_{0\alpha}$$

we obtain

$$\left(\Delta + 3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\tilde{\varphi} + 4\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{A}^\beta}{\partial x^0 \partial x^\beta} = 4\pi G\rho_\text{m}, \qquad \text{(G9)}$$

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \nabla\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial\tilde{A}^\beta}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = \frac{4\pi G}{c}\rho_\text{m}\mathbf{V}. \qquad \text{(G10)}$$

Taking $\partial/\partial x^0$ from Eq. (G9) and (1/2)div from Eq. (G10), adding these equations together and using the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \text{div}\,(\rho_\text{m}\mathbf{V}) = 0$$

we find

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial\tilde{A}^\beta}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = 0.$$

Therefore one can take

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial\tilde{A}^\beta}{\partial x^\beta} = 0$$

which is the same equation as the Lorenz gauge condition (G4) in electromagnetism. Then Eqs. (G9) and (G10) reduce to

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\tilde{\varphi} = 4\pi G\rho_\text{m}, \qquad \text{(G11)}$$

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0 \partial x^0}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{4\pi G}{c}\rho_\text{m}\mathbf{V}, \qquad \text{(G12)}$$

which have the same form as Maxwell equations (G5) and (G6) in the left handed medium with $\varepsilon = \mu = -1$.

The analogy becomes more transparent if we compare expressions for the energy density and energy flux. According to Eqs. (66) and (67), the energy density and the

energy density flux of the transverse gravitational wave in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ are

$$W_{\rm tr} = -\frac{1}{2\pi G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 + {\rm curl}^2\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\right], \tag{G13}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\rm tr}=\frac{c}{\pi G}\frac{\partial\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}{\partial x^0}\times{\rm curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}), \tag{G14}$$

which are similar to those for a transverse (div$\mathbf{A}=0$) electromagnetic wave in the left handed medium with $\varepsilon=\mu=-1$

$$W_{\rm em} = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 + {\rm curl}^2\mathbf{A}\right], \tag{G15}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\rm em}=\frac{c}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial x^0}\times{\rm curl}(\mathbf{A}). \tag{G16}$$

In such left handed dispersionless medium the energy density of the electromagnetic field is also negative. However, equation of mass motion in weak gravitational field (53) is somewhat different from the equation of motion of a charge in electromagnetic field.

Appendix H: Energy density and energy flux for weak gravitational field

One can obtain the energy density and energy flux for gravitational field using general formula for the energy-momentum tensor. Namely, if action of the system has the form

$$S=\frac{1}{c}\int d^4xL\left(A_l,\frac{\partial A_l}{\partial x^k}\right),$$

where the Lagrangian density L is some function of the quantities A_l, describing the state of the system, and of their first derivatives, then the energy-momentum tensor T^{ik} of the system can be calculated using equation [20]

$$T_i^k=\sum_l\frac{\partial A_l}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial L}{\partial\frac{\partial A_l}{\partial x^k}}-\delta_i^kL. \tag{H1}$$

T_i^k obeys the conservation law

$$\frac{\partial T_i^k}{\partial x^k}=0$$

and, therefore, T^{00} can be interpreted as the energy density of the system, while vector $S^\alpha=cT^{0\alpha}$ (the Poynting vector) is the flux density (the amount of energy passing through unit surface per unit time).

For weak gravitational field and nonrelativistic motion of masses the Lagrangian density reads

$$L=\frac{c^4}{32\pi G}\left(-3\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}-\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}-\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}+2\left[\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}+\frac{\partial h_{\alpha0}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right]\right)$$

$$-\rho c^2-\frac{1}{2}\rho c^2h_{00}-\rho cV^\alpha h_{0\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 \tag{H2}$$

and components of the equivalent metric h_{0k} can be treated as function describing the state of the gravitational field. Applying Eq. (H1) we find

$$T^{00}=\frac{c^4}{32\pi G}\left(-3\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}+\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}+\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)+\rho c^2+\frac{1}{2}\rho c^2h_{00}+\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2, \tag{H3}$$

$$T^{0\alpha}=\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\left(-\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}+\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$\left.+2\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right)+\rho cV^\alpha, \tag{H4}$$

where ρ is the mass density, $\mathbf{V}$ is the velocity of nonrelativistic motion of matter and $\alpha=1,\,2,\,3$. Introducing vector

$$\mathbf{h}=h_{0\alpha},$$

we obtain the following expression for the energy density of the weak gravitational field

$$T^{00}=-\frac{c^4}{32\pi G}\left[3\left(\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2-(\nabla h_{00})^2+\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}\right)^2+{\rm curl}^2\mathbf{h}\right]$$

$$+\rho c^2+\frac{1}{2}\rho c^2h_{00}+\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2. \tag{H5}$$

The energy density flux is given by

$$\mathbf{S}=\frac{c^5}{16\pi G}\left[\left(2\frac{\partial\mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}-\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^0}+\frac{\partial\mathbf{h}}{\partial x^0}\times{\rm curl}\ \mathbf{h}\right]+\rho c\mathbf{V}. \tag{H6}$$

In the Newtonian limit the energy density of field and matter reads

$$W=\rho c^2+\frac{\rho V^2}{2}+\rho c^2\phi+\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}(\nabla\phi)^2, \tag{H7}$$

where $c^2\phi=c^2h_{00}/2$ is the Newtonian gravitational potential.

Appendix I: Cosmological suppression of preferred frame and preferred location effects

Here we show lack of the preferred frame and preferred location effects for neutron starts orbiting each other.

Since gravitational field of a neutron star is not weak we must find symmetries of the action valid when spatial change of ϕ is of the order of unity. Spatial variation of ϕ produced by a neutron star yet substantially smaller than cosmological value $\phi_{\rm cosm}$. Indeed, due to expansion of the Universe the spatial scale has been magnified in a factor $e^{-\phi_{\rm cosm}} \sim 10^{40}$. Thus, at the present epoch $e^{-\phi} \ggg 1$, and, therefore, we can disregard exponentially small number e^{ϕ} compared to the exponentially large value of $e^{-\phi}$.

In terms of components the equivalent metric (14) reads

$$f_{00} = e^{2\phi} - 2\cosh(2\phi)u_\alpha^2,$$

$$f_{0\alpha} = 2\cosh(2\phi)u_0 u_\alpha,$$

$$f_{\alpha\beta} = -e^{-2\phi}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + 2\cosh(2\phi)u_\alpha u_\beta.$$

Taking into account that $e^{-\phi} \ggg 1$ and introducing new function

$$h_{0\alpha} = e^{-2\phi}u_\alpha$$

one can write the equivalent metric as

$$f_{00} = e^{2\phi}\left(1 - h_{0\alpha}^2\right), \quad f_{0\alpha} = h_{0\alpha}, \quad f_{\alpha\beta} = -e^{-2\phi}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{I1}$$

Thus, the square of the interval is

$$ds^2 = e^{2\phi}\left(1 - h_{0\alpha}^2\right)(dx^0)^2 + 2h_{0\alpha}dx^0 dx^\alpha - e^{-2\phi}d\mathbf{r}^2. \tag{I2}$$

Motion of stars orbiting each other is not relativistic and, therefore, V/c is another small parameter in our problem. Keeping terms upto V^3/c^3 and taking into account that $e^{-\phi} \ggg 1$ the gravitational field action (28) reduces to

$$S_{\rm gravity} = \frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int d^4x \left[-\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - 3e^{-4\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\right.$$

$$+2e^{-2\phi}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial h_{0\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$+h_{0\beta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta} + h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial h_{0\alpha}}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\beta}$$

$$\left. - 2e^{-2\phi}h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0} + h_{0\alpha}h_{0\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\beta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\beta}\right]. \tag{I3}$$

The interval (I2) and the gravitational field action (I3) are invariant under scaling transformation

$$x^0 \to e^{-a}x^0, \qquad x^\alpha \to e^a x^\alpha, \tag{I4}$$

$$\phi \to \phi + a, \qquad h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha}, \tag{I5}$$

where a is an arbitrary constant parameter, not necessarily small.

There is also an additional Lorentz-like symmetry of the action valid for the strong gravitational field of a neutron star. Straightforward but lengthy calculation yields that upto the terms of the order of V^3/c^3 the total action $S_{\rm gravity} + S_{\rm matter}$ is invariant under a coordinate transformation for which derivatives transform as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \to \left(1 + \frac{V^2}{2c^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\nabla, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} +$$

$$\left(\left[1 + 8e^{-4\phi} - 9e^{4\phi}\right]\frac{V^2}{2c^2} + \frac{e^{2\phi}}{c}\mathbf{V}\cdot\mathbf{h}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} +$$

$$e^{4\phi}\frac{\mathbf{V}}{2c^2}\left(\mathbf{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right) - e^{2\phi}\frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}\left(\mathbf{h}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right), \tag{I6}$$

where $\mathbf{V} = V^\alpha$ is a constant (velocity) vector and $\mathbf{h} = h_{0\alpha}$. Under this transformation the equivalent metric f_{ik}, given by Eq. (I1), transforms as a covariant tensor. Namely, f_{00} transforms as $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}$, $f_{0\alpha}$ transforms as $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$ and so on. Since f_{ik} transforms as a tensor the interval ds, and hence $S_{\rm matter}$, are invariant.

Keeping terms of the proper order the metric transformation reads

$$h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} - 2\frac{V^\alpha}{c}\sinh(2\phi), \tag{I7}$$

$$e^{2\phi} \to e^{2\phi} + 2\frac{V^2}{c^2}\sinh(2\phi) - 2e^{4\phi}\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{0\alpha},$$

or

$$\phi \to \phi + \frac{V^2}{c^2}e^{-2\phi}\sinh(2\phi) - \frac{V^\alpha}{c}e^{2\phi}h_{0\alpha}. \tag{I8}$$

Under transformation (I6) the volume element dx^4 in the action $S_{\rm gravity}$ transforms as $dx^4 \to dx^4/J$, where J is the Jacobian of the transformation

$$J = 1 + \left(1 + 12e^{-4\phi} - 13e^{4\phi}\right)\frac{V^2}{c^2} + 2e^{2\phi}\frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{0\alpha}.$$

In the post-Newtonian limit (far away from the neutron star) the transformation (I6)-(I8) reduces to the low-velocity Lorentz transformation

$$x^0 \to \left(1 + \frac{V^2}{2c^2}\right)x^0 + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{V}\cdot\mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r} + \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c}x^0, \tag{I9}$$

$$\phi \to \left(1 + \frac{2V^2}{c^2}\right)\phi - \frac{V^\alpha}{c}h_{0\alpha}, \tag{I10}$$

$$h_{0\alpha} \to h_{0\alpha} - 4\frac{V^\alpha}{c}\phi. \tag{I11}$$

Scaling transformation (I4) and (I5) combined with the Lorentz-like transformation (I6)-(I8) allow us to eliminate the preferred frame and preferred location from the equations describing motion and gravitational field of a neutron star. Indeed, let us consider a reference frame in which background gravitational field is $\phi^{\rm back}$ =const and $h^{\rm back}_{0\alpha}$ =const. The background field is produced by the companion star and the cosmological part. Since the total action is invariant under rotations in the four dimensional Euclidean space δ_{ik} one can eliminate $h^{\rm back}_{0\alpha}$ by making such a rotation. After this transformation the background field becomes $\phi^{\rm back} = \phi_0$ =const and $h^{\rm back}_{0\alpha} = 0$. Next we perform scaling transformation (I4) and (I5) with $a = -\phi_0$ which makes $\phi^{\rm back} = 0$, that is now the background metric is Minkowski metric η_{ik}. In the new frame, however, the neutron star moves with some velocity $\mathbf{V}$. Finally, the Lorentz-like transformation (I6)-(I8) eliminates $\mathbf{V}$, that is in the new reference frame the neutron star is at rest. At the same time, the Lorentz-like transformation does not change the background Minkowski metric η_{ik}. Indeed, far from the star the field transformation reduces to Eqs. (I10) and (I11) for which the asymptotic values $\phi = 0$ and $h_{0\alpha} = 0$ remain invariant.

We found that transformations which keep the total action invariant eliminate the background field from the boundary conditions. Thus, field equations and the equation of the star motion do not yield preferred frame and preferred location effects for they are obtained by taking variation of the total action. As a consequence, one can choose a reference frame in which star is static and metric is asymptotically Minkowski. In this frame, in the outer region of a nonrotating static star the gravitational field is described by the equation $\Delta\phi = 0$ with asymptotic boundary conditions $\phi^{\rm back} = 0$ and $h^{\rm back}_{0\alpha} = 0$ which yields

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{GM}{c^2 r},$$

where M is a Kepler-measured mass of the star. Thus, solution for the relativistic structure and gravitational field of the star is independent of the background gravitational field.

To obtain gravitational field in a frame in which star moves with velocity $V \ll c$ one can make Lorentz-like transformation (I6)-(I8). However, if we are interested in the far field in the post-Newtonian limit we can use Eqs. (I9)-(I11) which give

$$\phi = -\frac{GM}{c^2|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t|}\left(1 + \frac{2V^2}{c^2}\right), \tag{I12}$$

$$h_{0\alpha} = \frac{4G}{c^3}\frac{MV^\alpha}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t|}. \tag{I13}$$

Expression for the equivalent metric can be found from Eqs. (I12) and (I13) using Eqs. (I1). Our result coincides with those obtained in general relativity (in the gauge $2\partial h_{00}/\partial x^0 - \partial h_{0\beta}/\partial x^\beta = 0$) in the post-Newtonian limit far from the star. It is independent of the original background metric as well as motion of the reference frame relative to the background.

[1] A. Einstein, *Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation,* Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. **1915**, 844 (1915).

[2] C.M. Will, *Theory and experiment in gravitational physics*, Cambridge University Press (1993).

[3] C.M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment,* Living Rev. Relativity **9**, 3 (2006).

[4] E. Poisson and C.M. Will, *Gravity, Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic*, Cambridge University Press (2014).

[5] A.G. Riess et al., *Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant,* AJ **116**, 1009 (1998).

[6] S. Perlmutter et al., *Measurements of* Ω *and* Λ *from* 42 *High-Redshift Supernovae,* ApJ **517**, 565 (1999).

[7] Collaboration, Planck, P.A.R. Ade, N. Aghanim, C. Armitage-Caplan et al., *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters,* A&A **571**, A16 (2014).

[8] A.A. Svidzinsky, *Oscillating axion bubbles as an alternative to supermassive black holes at galactic centers,* JCAP **10,** 018 (2007).

[9] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields*, New York (NY): McGraw-Hill (1965).

[10] L. de Broglie, *Sur une analogie entre l'électron de Dirac et l'onde électromagnétique*, Comptes Rendus **195**, 536 (1932).

[11] V.V. Dvoeglazov, *Again on the Possible Compositeness of the Photon,* Phys. Scripta **64**, 119 (2001).

[12] W.A. Perkins, *Quasibosons,* Int. J. of Theor. Physics **41**, 823 (2002).

[13] D.K. Sen, *Left and Right-handed Neutrinos and Baryon-Lepton masses*, J. Math. Phys. **48**, 022304 (2007).

[14] W.A. Perkins, *The Antiparticles of Neutral Bosons,* J. Mod. Physics **4**, 12 (2013).

[15] W.A. Perkins, *Composite Photon Theory versus Elementary Photon Theory,* J. Mod. Physics **5**, 2089 (2014).

[16] W.A. Perkins, *Neutrino Theory of Photons,* Phys. Rev. **137**, B1291 (1965).

[17] P. Rastall, *An Approach to a Theory of Gravitation,* Am. J. Phys. **43**, 591 (1975).

[18] P. Rastall, *Conservation laws and gravitational radiation,* Can. J. Phys. **55**, 1342 (1977).

[19] A.A. Svidzinsky, *Vector theory of gravity in Minkowski space-time: flat Universe without black holes*, arXiv:0904.3155 [gr-qc] (2009).

[20] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields (course of theoretical physics; v.2)*, Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd, (1995).

[21] H. Yilmaz, *New Approach to General Relativity*, Phys.

Rev. **111**, 1417 (1958).
[22] H. Yilmaz, *New Theory of Gravitation,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 1399 (1971).
[23] N. Rosen, *A theory of gravitation,* Ann. Phys. **84**, 455 (1974).
[24] D.B. Chang and H.H. Johnson, *Theory of Gravitation with an Alternative to Black Holes,* Phys. Rev. D **21**, 874 (1980).
[25] D.B. Chang and H.H. Johnson, *No Black Holes: a Gravitational Gauge Theory Possibility*, Phys. Lett. A **77**, 411 (1980).
[26] J. Lindhard, *Static gravitation on the basis of equivalence,* Physica Scripta **23**, 60 (1981).
[27] M. Martinis and N. Perković, *Is exponential metric a natural space-time metric of Newtonian gravity?,* arXiv:1009.6017 [gr-qc] (2010).
[28] S.L. Robertson, *X-Ray Novae, Event Horizons, and the Exponential Metric,* ApJ **515**, 365 (1999).
[29] V.G. Veselago, *Electrodynamics of materials with negative index of refraction,* Sov. Phys. Usp. **10**, 509 (1968).
[30] R.A. Hulse and J.H. Taylor, *Discovery of a pulsar in a binary system*, ApJ **195**, L51 (1975).
[31] R. Bradley, J. Clarke, D. Kinion, L. J. Rosenberg, K. van Bibber, S. Matsuki, M. Mück and P. Sikivie, *Microwave cavity searches for dark-matter axions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 777 (2003).
[32] J.E. Kim, *Light pseudoscalars, particle physics and cosmology,* Phys. Rep. **150**, 1 (1987).
[33] R. Genzel, R. Schödel, T. Ott, A. Eckart, T. Alexander, F. Lacombe, D. Rouan, B. Aschenbach, *Near-infrared flares from accreting gas around the supermassive black hole at the Galactic Centre,* Nature **425**, 934 (2003).
[34] S. Gillessen, F. Eisenhauer, E. Quataert, R. Genzel, T. Paumard, S. Trippe, T. Ott, R. Abuter, A. Eckart, P.O. Lagage, M.D. Lehnert, L.J. Tacconi and F. Martins, *Variations in the Spectral Slope of Sgr A* During a NIR Flare*, ApJ **640**, L163 (2006).
[35] G. Belanger, R. Terrier, O. De Jager, A. Goldwurm and F. Melia, *Periodic Modulations in an X-ray Flare from Sagittarius A**, J. Phys.: Conference Series, **54**, 420 (2006).
[36] A.W. Graham and S.P. Driver, *A Log-Quadratic Relation for Predicting Supermassive Black Hole Masses from the Host Bulge Sérsic Index,* ApJ **655**, 77 (2007).
[37] A.W. Graham, *Populating the Galaxy Velocity Dispersion - Supermassive Black Hole Mass Diagram: A Catalogue of* $(\mathrm{M_{bh}}, \sigma)$ *Values,* PASA **25**, 167 (2008).
[38] Y.F. Jiang, J.E. Greene and L.C. Ho, *Black Hole Mass and Bulge Luminosity for Low-mass Black Holes*, ApJL **737**, L45 (2011).
[39] A.W. Graham and N. Scott, *The (Black Hole)-bulge Mass Scaling Relation at Low Masses*, ApJ **798**, 54 (2015).
[40] A.W. Graham, N. Scott and J.M. Schombert, *Super-Massive Black Hole Mass Scaling Relations*, PKAS **30**, 335 (2015).
[41] H. Falcke, F. Melia, and E. Agol, *Viewing the Shadow of the Black Hole at the Galactic Center*, ApJ **528**, L13 (2000).
[42] H. Falcke, S. Markoff, P.L. Biermann, T.P. Krichbaum, F. Melia, E. Agol, and G. Bower, *"Galaxies and their Constituents at the Highest Angular Resolutions"*, in Proceedings of IAU Symposium #205, United Kingdom, Edited by R.T. Schilizzi, 2001, p. 28.
[43] Z.Q. Shen, K.Y. Lo, M.C. Liang, P.T.P. Ho and J.H. Zhao, *A size of ∼1 AU for the radio source Sgr A* at the centre of the Milky Way*, Nature **438**, 62 (2005).
[44] L. Huang, M. Cai, Z.-Q. Shen and F. Yuan, *Black Hole Shadow Image and Visibility Analysis of Sgr A**, MNRAS **379**, 833 (2007).
[45] S.S. Doeleman, V.L. Fish, A.E. Broderick, A. Loeb and A.E. Rogers, *Detecting Flaring Structures in Sagittarius A* with High-Frequency VLBI*, ApJ **695**, 59 (2009).
[46] K. Akiyama et al., *230 GHz VLBI Observations of M87: Event-horizon-scale Structure during an Enhanced Very-high-energy γ-Ray State in 2012*, ApJ **807**, 150 (2015).
[47] S.S. Doeleman et al., *Event-horizon-scale structure in the supermassive black hole candidate at the Galactic Centre*, Nature **455**, 78 (2008).
[48] D.R. Smith and N. Kroll, *Negative Refractive Index in Left-Handed Materials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2933 (2000).
[49] A. Linde, "*Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*", Harwood Academic Publishers (1990).
[50] R.D. Reasenberg et al., *Microarcsecond optical astrometry - an instrument and its astrophysical applications*, AJ **96**, 1731 (1988).